

## Organic meat quality

**A. Braghieri and F. Napolitano, Università degli Studi della Basilicata, Italy**

**Abstract:** The present chapter briefly describes the international basic standards in use for the organic farming of meat animals. The situation of the two main organic meat markets (viz. oversupplies in EU and fast growth in USA), where demand and supply are both developed, and the potential development in other countries, where the internal demand is limited and the production is export-oriented, is then examined in terms of commercial value, number of meat animals, price premiums for farmers, and price to consumers. Consumer price represents the main limit to the expansion of organic meat purchasing; possible strategies to overcome this constraint are also discussed. An ample discussion is dedicated to the effects of organic farming (e.g. extensive rearing system, appropriate breed and feeding based on pasture) on meat quality (e.g. pH, colour, instrumental texture, sensory tenderness and flavour) and on differentiation from conventional products. Finally, we describe safety problems possibly arising in conventional meat (e.g. hormone, antibiotics and other growth promotants administration), and in organic products (limited use of conventional medicine to prevent and cure bacterial and parasitic infections). The reasons for possible increased healthiness of organic meat are also illustrated.

**Key words:** organic farming; organic meat quality; organic meat market; organic meat safety; organic meat healthiness.

### 17.1 Introduction

#### 17.1.1 Organic standards

Intensive systems allow the production of meat at relatively low prices. However, for consumers from western countries price is not the only determinant behind animal-food purchases as they are acquiring an increasing interest in other aspects concerning farming practices and related to product safety (Verbeke and Viane, 1999), animal welfare (McInerney, 2004) and environmental pollution (McCarthy

1 *et al.*, 2003). Currently, public concern is particularly centered on factory-farm  
2 methods of raising and slaughtering animals for meat production, which represent  
3 the main production system in Europe and North America, and are also accounting  
4 for most of the market in the developing countries, including Brazil, Malaysia, the  
5 Philippines, Thailand, etc. These methods are considered responsible for public  
6 health threats, such as avian flu, mad cow disease and dioxin contamination,  
7 ecological problems concerning air and water pollution, loss of livestock genetic  
8 diversity, and massive instrumentalisation of animals into short-lived production  
9 machines (Verhoog *et al.*, 2004).

10 As a response to the increasing demand for products responding to these new  
11 societal needs, the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements  
12 (IFOAM) was founded with the aim to coordinate the international network of  
13 organic agriculture organizations. IFOAM in 1980 developed the first basic  
14 standard for organic agriculture, which has been repeatedly revised and updated.  
15 Such standards have the broad objective of bringing agricultural and animal  
16 production practices back to the principles of sustainability. In particular, farming  
17 should not rely on external feeds, and manure production should not exceed the  
18 absorption capability of the agricultural ecosystem. In addition, the ethological  
19 needs of the organically farmed animals should be respected in order to allow the  
20 expression of their natural behaviour.

21 Considerable variation among organic standards of different European  
22 countries induced EU to promulgate a common Council Regulation (EC 1804/  
23 1999) on organic farming (European Communities, 1999) which implemented the  
24 general Regulation EEC 2092/91 on organic agriculture (European Communities,  
25 1991). The EU Regulation had an important impact on the harmonization of  
26 international organic standards within and outside Europe, as all countries willing  
27 to export to EU need to meet the European standards. However, an analysis of  
28 different national and international standards shows that, although many aspects  
29 are similar (limits on non-organic feed, prohibition of growth promoters,  
30 prohibition of drugs in absence of disease), there are still a number of areas where  
31 harmonization is needed (housing, grazing areas, withholding periods for drugs,  
32 conversion time, age at weaning, nose ringing of pigs, etc.). In general, EU  
33 standards are more detailed than standards from the USA, developed within the  
34 National Organic Program (NOP) in 2002, or from Australia. In addition, the EU  
35 Regulation pays more attention to the animal ethological needs as compared to  
36 other international standards (Schmid, 2000). Variation among different standards  
37 can also be observed on general principles. IFOAM standards focus more on  
38 management, with the aim to promote health and welfare of the animals by  
39 respecting their physiological and ethological needs (IFOAM, 2002). Conversely,  
40 Codex Alimentarius, as issued by Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), is  
41 more environmental oriented and gives the animals a role in closing the nutrient  
42 cycle, improving soil fertility through their manure, and controlling weeds through  
43 grazing (FAO, 2001). These differences may result in variations in specific  
44 aspects, which may limit the trade of organic meat.

### 17.1.2 Organic meat market

North America and Western Europe account for 95% of the world retail sales of organic food products, at US\$ 13 and 10.4 billion, respectively. Although consumer interest is growing in other regions, the demand is confined to the industrialised world largely because of the price premium of organic products. Many developing countries have large sections of their populations below the poverty line, and this makes it difficult for a market of organic products to develop (Willer and Yussefi, 2004). Rapid economic growth in countries such as China, Brazil, and South Africa is causing the upper social classes to expand, and this is creating a market for organic food. Most production in Asian and African countries will be for export markets, although regional markets are also developing.

The Western European market for organic meat was traditionally the largest in the world; however, it has now been equalled by North America. The European market has faced rapid expansion since the mid 1990s and is now reporting slowing growth rates as certain sectors approach maturity.

The average European expenditure rate on organic products is US\$ 27.2 per annum, ranging from US\$ 7.3 (Spain) to US\$ 105 (Switzerland) *per capita*.

In 2003, EU, including 15 countries, certified non-dairy cattle (mainly suckler cows) amounting to about 1 million heads, corresponding to 1.7% of total non-dairy cattle herd (European Commission, 2005). Austria ranked first with 25% of EU, followed by Italy with 15%. Certified pigs amounted to 450 000 heads, mainly located in Germany and France, while organic broilers were more than 9 million (France ranked first). Organic sheep and goats amounted to 2.4 million. They were located mainly in the United Kingdom and in Italy. According to Hamm *et al.* (2002), beef had the highest market share (1.7%) followed by sheep and goat meat (0.7%), pork (0.3%) and poultry (0.3%).

Only little and partial information is available on prices for organic meat and meat products as prices diverge depending on the country and on the product. For instance, farmer price for organic pork in 2002 was about 2.46 €/kg on EU-15 average. Price premiums for organic pork in EU were about 62%. Consumer price for organic pork cutlet was about 13.17 €/kg but differed considerably between the 15 member states. The high divergence in consumer prices and price premiums often reflects the different sale chains used, e.g. consumer prices usually are much higher in organic food shops than in supermarket chains. Similarly, there was a considerable variability among the 15 Member States in farm gate prices for beef as well as in consumer prices for minced beef (European Commission, 2005).

The North American market for organic products is reporting the highest growth worldwide. The meat sector is the fastest growing organic food industry, with sales expanding by 51% in 2005 (Organic Monitor Ltd., 2006). High market growth rates have led to organic meat supply shortages, with producers unable to meet growing demand from retailers. The organic beef and pork markets are the most affected because of low production levels. Although production has increased since 2004, supply is expected to lag behind demand for a number of years. As a consequence, prices are rising for a range of organic meat products and an increasing number of North American processors are looking overseas for

1 supplies, particularly from Latin America, Australasia and Canada. Although  
2 organic beef has the fastest growing market, organic poultry, and broilers in  
3 particular, is the most widely available in North America (nearly two-thirds of this  
4 sector). The relatively short production cycle and low price premium are respon-  
5 sible for organic chicken being the most popular organic meat with consumers.  
6 USA retail sales of organic poultry were US\$ 161 million in 2005, well under 1%  
7 of conventional poultry sales. However, retail sales of organic poultry are rapidly  
8 growing (Nutrition Business Journal, 2006). Prices for organic broilers from July  
9 2004 through early 2006 ranged from US\$ 1.89 to US\$ 2.45, while average prices  
10 for conventional broilers varied from US\$ 0.59 to US\$ 0.82 per pound (Oberholtzer  
11 *et al.*, 2006). Organic beef, in comparison, is more rarely found in retailers because  
12 of small-scale production, and inadequate distribution infrastructure, although the  
13 success of competing products such as 'natural' beef is decreasing. USDA (United  
14 States Department of Agriculture) rules for 'natural' beef are less strict than those  
15 for organic products: antibiotics, hormones and animal by-products are banned but  
16 conventional feedstuffs are allowed. In USA the number of certified animals in  
17 2005 was over 36 000 beef cows, 10 000 hogs and pigs, 4400 sheep and lambs, and  
18 over 10 000 000 broilers.

19 The Argentine government has established national standards for organic  
20 products. These are at least as stringent as those of IFOAM and the European  
21 Union. The organic industry in Argentina is mainly export oriented (85% by value  
22 is exported, with an estimated value of US\$ 32 million). The European Union is the  
23 principal destination of Argentina's organic beef exports. Meat exporting began in  
24 the mid 90s with beef, and more recently Patagonian lamb became the predominant  
25 export for international markets. In 2002, there were 754 000 and 122 000 certified  
26 sheep and cattle, respectively, in Argentina. However, a domestic market is being  
27 developed in Buenos Aires (Willer and Yussefi, 2004).

28 Elsewhere, both Brazil and Uruguay are seeking to develop exports of organi-  
29 cally produced meat (Willer and Yussefi, 2004). In the case of Brazil, organic beef  
30 production systems are being developed in the centre of the country. The authori-  
31 ties in Brazil see the organic production system as a way of boosting local cattle  
32 producers' income, while reducing the environmental damage that traditional  
33 methods of cattle raising have caused. While 90% of Brazil's overall organic  
34 production is exported, Brazil's internal market for organic foods is growing at  
35 around 25% a year.

36 Although the Australasian continent comprises almost 40% of global organic  
37 farmland, with 12.1 million hectares, the market represents a fraction of the global  
38 total (Willer and Yussefi, 2004). Sales of organic products were estimated at about  
39 US\$ 200 million in 2002, with Australia comprising the most. Beef cattle farmers  
40 use much of the organic farmland in Australia as pastureland. The Australian and  
41 New Zealand organic food industry is export-oriented, with significant quantities  
42 of primary products, including beef and lamb, going to other northern hemisphere  
43 countries and relatively low amounts sold in the domestic market. However,  
44 sales of organic products within Australia are growing at about 15 to 20% per  
45 annum.

Although trade liberalisation may be removing tariff barriers, exporters are finding it increasingly difficult to meet supply gaps because of the differences in organic standards between regions.

### 17.1.3 Willingness to buy organic meat

Food quality is not an objective feature of the products as it is affected by consumer perception, and it is often referred to as perceived quality. Many aspects can be used by consumers to make their food choices. Intrinsic (e.g. cut, colour, fat rim) and extrinsic cues (price, origin, stamp of quality, production and nutritional information) are used to form expectations about product quality attributes. The latter can be classified in two categories: those experienced before or during consumption (experience quality attributes, e.g. price and sensory properties) and those not experienced directly, such as healthiness, naturalness and ethical aspects, which have to be communicated in order to be perceived as they are credence characteristics that cannot be confirmed either before or after purchase (Grunert *et al.*, 2004).

According to a recent on-line survey (ACNielsen, 2005), organic alternatives are purchased mainly for health reasons (respondents thought that organic foods were healthier for them and their children). European people seemed to be more conscious of the wider benefits of organic foods, such as protecting the environment and animals. As to the barriers to purchasing organic alternatives, availability and credibility were considered a problem. However, the general sense among consumers who would not buy organic products was that they were usually more costly.

Meeting organic certification requirements usually implies higher production costs. For example, it is reported that the cost of producing organic beef in the United Kingdom is 20% higher than that for conventional methods. In some cases, the high cost of converting to organic meat and dairy production has led to subsidies being paid to the farmer. However, this is not the case in many countries (e.g. USA and developing countries). Thus, the extra production costs have to be paid by consumers.

Provision of information about the manufacturing process (e.g. organic v OGM) can affect acceptability (Caporale and Monteleone, 2004) and consumer willingness to pay (Lange *et al.*, 2002), thus providing a means to cover the extra production costs sustained by organic farmers. The price that people are willing to pay is the major determinant of the market share of organic meat. Although intent to purchase depends upon the interactions of quality attributes such as appearance and colour (Brewer and McKeith, 1999), organic labelling has been found to have a more consistent effect as compared to some sensory characteristics on the price offered by consumers for organic pork (Dransfield *et al.*, 2005). Using questionnaires on organic foods in Spain, consumers appeared to be prepared to pay about 12% more for organic red meats and chicken (Gil *et al.*, 2000). In France and The Netherlands, questionnaire responses suggested that almost half of consumers would pay 20% more for pork from pigs raised outdoors (Carpentier *et al.*, 2004),

1 whereas Dranfield *et al.* (2005) suggested that people from different European  
2 countries would offer 5% extra for organic pork.

3 Meat is usually commercialised as undifferentiated product. Food differentia-  
4 tion can be based on both product and process characteristics. For animal-based  
5 products, such as meat, the process characteristics may be represented by the  
6 farming practices and the related organic standards. However, these characteristics  
7 are not easily evaluated or experienced by consumers, which indicates the need for  
8 a special, constant and reliable quality signalling system, given to consumers  
9 through appropriate information in order to motivate them and increase their  
10 willingness to buy and pay for organic meat (Bredahl, 2004; Grunert *et al.*, 2004).

11 Another element favouring the spread of organic meat into the general retail  
12 sector is that purchasers of organic food tend to be in the higher income segment  
13 (FAO, 2002). Consequently, supermarkets seek to attract such customers by  
14 providing a wide range of food, including organic meats. The increased involve-  
15 ment of supermarkets, with their centralised systems of purchasing and distribution,  
16 may result in pressure to reduce the current price differential between organic and  
17 conventional products.

## 20 **17.2 The quality of organic meats as compared to** 21 **conventional products**

23 Organic rules markedly affect farming practices, which in turn can influence meat  
24 quality. This section will provide information about the effects of the main aspects  
25 covered by organic standards on the quality of meat obtained from the major  
26 farmed animal species (Table 17.1), in relation to the corresponding conventional  
27 products. However, in many studies, the overall production system was consid-  
28 ered; thus the effects of single factors, such as rearing system, diet or genetic type,  
29 could not be precisely separated. For instance, Walshe *et al.* (2006) compared  
30 organic and conventional beef samples gathered from the retail market; thus the  
31 effect of the entire organic chain was evaluated. These authors found similar  
32 sensory characteristics in the two products. Combes *et al.* (2003a) compared rabbit  
33 meat obtained from organic and conventional production systems which were  
34 different for several aspects including housing, feeding and breed. In this study,  
35 trained panel members were able to distinguish the organic product from the  
36 conventional one, indicating that the former was more tender.

### 39 **17.2.1 Rearing system**

40 Organic rules promote the use of pasture and outdoor areas, while indoor  
41 space allowance is, in general, higher as compared to conventional/intensive  
42 systems.

43 Organic broilers housed in an indoor pen with access to a grass paddock show  
44 lower growth performance and lower amounts of abdominal fat compared with  
45 conventional birds housed in indoor pens (Castellini *et al.*, 2002a). This is

Table 17.1 Effects of the main aspects covered by the organic standards on meat quality characteristics

Property	Animal	Outdoor/Grazing	Forage based diet	Rustic breed
IMF	Pigs	Olsson <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Millet <i>et al.</i> , 2004	- Hansen <i>et al.</i> , 2006	+/- Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
	Broilers	-	-	-
L*	Cattle	Vestergaard <i>et al.</i> , 2000a; Realini <i>et al.</i> , 2004	+/- Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006	+/- Oliver <i>et al.</i> , 1994;
	Pigs	Bridi <i>et al.</i> , 1998	+ Millet <i>et al.</i> , 2004	Enfalt <i>et al.</i> , 1997
WHC	Broilers	+ Castellini <i>et al.</i> , 2002	+/- French <i>et al.</i> , 2000	- Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
	Cattle	-	-	+/- Oliver <i>et al.</i> , 1994;
pHu	Pigs	Olsson <i>et al.</i> , 2003	-	Enfalt <i>et al.</i> , 1997
	Broilers	- Castellini <i>et al.</i> , 2002	+/- Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006	- Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
Flavour	Cattle	+/- Realini <i>et al.</i> , 2004	-	+ Castellini <i>et al.</i> , 2002
	Pigs	Olsson <i>et al.</i> , 2003	+/- Millet <i>et al.</i> , 2004	+/- Campo <i>et al.</i> , 1999
WBS	Broilers	+ Castellini <i>et al.</i> , 2002	+/- Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006	+ Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2006
	Cattle	-	-	+ Braghieri <i>et al.</i> , 2005
Tenderness	Pigs	+/- Realini <i>et al.</i> , 2004	+/- Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006	+ Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
	Broilers	+ Castellini <i>et al.</i> , 2002	-	+ Braghieri <i>et al.</i> , 2005
Crude protein content	Cattle	+ Olsson <i>et al.</i> , 2003	+/- Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006	-
	Pigs	+/- Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005	-	-
Mineral content	Cattle	+ Olsson <i>et al.</i> , 2003	+/- Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006	+ Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
	Pigs	+ Castellini <i>et al.</i> , 2002	+/- Hansen <i>et al.</i> , 2006	+ Braghieri <i>et al.</i> , 2005
PUFA/CLA	Cattle	+ Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2005	+/- Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006	-
	Pigs	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+/- Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006	+/- Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
Antioxidants	Broilers	+ Castellini <i>et al.</i> , 2002	+ Marino <i>et al.</i> , 2006;	+ Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
	Cattle	+ Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2002; Descalzo <i>et al.</i> , 2005	+ Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2005	+ Braghieri <i>et al.</i> , 2005
1	Pigs	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001;	-
	Cattle	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Oksbjerg <i>et al.</i> , 2005	+ Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
2	Pigs	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Castellini <i>et al.</i> , 2002	-
	Cattle	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2002;	+ Braghieri <i>et al.</i> , 2005
3	Pigs	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Descalzo <i>et al.</i> , 2005	-
	Cattle	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001;	+ Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
4	Pigs	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Hogberg <i>et al.</i> , 2002	-
	Cattle	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Hogberg <i>et al.</i> , 2002	+ Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005
5	Pigs	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Hogberg <i>et al.</i> , 2002	-
	Cattle	+ Nilzen <i>et al.</i> , 2001	+ Hogberg <i>et al.</i> , 2002	+ Fanatico <i>et al.</i> , 2005

+: increase; -: decrease; +/-: no effect.

1 probably due to increased activity (Lewis *et al.*, 1997), which also makes animals  
2 calmer and less sensitive to environmental stressors.

3 In general, free-range pigs display increased carcass lean meat contents (Enfalt  
4 *et al.*, 1997; Sather *et al.*, 1997) and decreased back fat thickness (Warriss *et al.*,  
5 1983) because of both higher energy requirements to maintain body temperature  
6 and higher levels of exercise performed in outdoor areas. However, different  
7 results were obtained by other authors: although organic pigs were kept in ample  
8 outdoor areas, their carcasses had lower lean meat percentages and thicker back fat  
9 than the corresponding conventionally grown animals (van der Wal *et al.*, 1993;  
10 Bridi *et al.*, 1998; Olsson *et al.*, 2003). Such different results are not necessarily in  
11 conflict as in the latter experiments the higher fatness may be explained on the  
12 basis of the higher energy content of the feed formulated according to organic  
13 standards, in combination with a rather mild climate during the production period.  
14 Enhanced physical activity may also cause shorter carcasses in organically grown  
15 pigs (Enfalt *et al.*, 1993; Millet *et al.* 2004).

16 The organic production system may result in a decreased technological meat  
17 quality. Meat of organically raised broilers (Castellini *et al.*, 2002a) and pigs  
18 (Sather *et al.*, 1997; Enfalt *et al.*, 1997; Olsson *et al.*, 2003; Millet *et al.*, 2004) had  
19 lower ultimate pH (pHu), higher drip and cooking losses and higher shear force  
20 values than conventionally grown animals. Exercise may also negatively affect  
21 beef, with higher shear values and reduced taste (Vestergaard *et al.*, 2000b).

22 The lower pHu of the organic chickens could be due to a lower consumption of  
23 glycogen as a consequence of reduced sensitivity to pre-slaughter stress of animal  
24 kept in good housing conditions (Castellini *et al.*, 2002a). The same effect was  
25 observed in outdoor reared pigs. Enfalt *et al.* (1997) attributed this result to the  
26 capacity to utilise substrates other than glycogen during transport to the slaughter-  
27 house. Increasing the pig physical fitness by spontaneous activity performed in  
28 ample outdoor rearing areas may determine adaptations of muscle metabolism,  
29 leading to increased aerobic capacity (Petersen *et al.*, 1998). These adaptations are  
30 glycogen sparing (Henckel *et al.*, 2000; Petersen *et al.*, 1997). In fact, it has been  
31 shown that moderate physical activity during growth can increase the pre-  
32 slaughter glycogen content of muscle (Essen-Gustavsson *et al.*, 1988). Accordingly,  
33 other studies displayed higher energy levels in the *Longissimus dorsi* of outdoor  
34 raised pigs at slaughter (Barton-Gade and Blaabjerg, 1989; Enfalt *et al.*, 1997). In  
35 addition, it has been shown that very high levels of vitamin E in the fresh grass that  
36 is frequently associated with outdoor rearing, may increase muscle glycogen stores  
37 (Lauridsen *et al.*, 1999). Lower pHu can shrink myofibrils, reducing their water-  
38 binding ability and increasing light scattering (Castellini *et al.*, 2002a). Furthermore,  
39 this condition can reduce the importance of myoglobin in selectively absorbing  
40 green light, resulting in less red and more yellow meat. However, other studies  
41 found no differences in the rate and extent of pH decrease post mortem (Dufey,  
42 1995), while the changes observed in water-holding capacity were explained by  
43 the slightly changed muscle characteristics and early post mortem metabolic  
44 events of the exercised outdoor animals (Nilzen *et al.*, 2001). In fact, recent studies  
45 suggest that pHu accounts for a small part of the ability of meat to retain water



(Schafer *et al.*, 2002), while a high glycogen content in itself may negatively affect water-holding capacity by the ability to bind water released during post mortem glycolysis (Fernandez, 1991). Conversely, in outdoor organically raised rabbits, higher pHu and improved loin tenderness in comparison with conventionally reared indoor animals were observed (Combes *et al.*, 2003b).

Outdoor raising may have diverging effects on meat colour and haem pigment levels, as some authors found no influence of exercise (van der Wal *et al.*, 1993; Enfalt *et al.*, 1993), whereas in more recent studies, increased space allowance and grazing induced a darker meat colour in young bulls (Vestergaard *et al.*, 2000a) and pigs (Bridi *et al.*, 1998). Nuernberg *et al.* (2005) also observed that bulls fed on grass-based systems, including pasture, showed a colour of muscle darker than concentrate-fed animals. These latter results are generally attributed to the increased activity of grazing animals (Millet *et al.*, 2004; Priolo *et al.*, 2001) leading either to high levels of myoglobin in the muscle (Shorthose and Harris, 1991) or to a greater frequency of Type II A than Type II B fibres or to an increased mean fibre cross-sectional area (Petersen *et al.*, 1998). Occasionally, the meat from free-range pigs has been found slightly paler or less red than that from conventionally raised animals (Sather *et al.*, 1997).

The higher shear and bite resistance value as well as the lower sensory tenderness observed in organic pig meat (Danielsen *et al.*, 2000) either with (Enfalt *et al.*, 1997; Sather *et al.*, 1997) or without (van der Wal *et al.*, 1993) lower intramuscular fat can be due to the higher physical activity of the animals. The same effect has been observed in broilers as a consequence of a lower stocking density (Farmer *et al.*, 1997). The higher shear force values of organically produced meat may be due to the slower daily growth rate, which can cause a slower protein turnover in the muscle of more extensively raised animals, making their muscular tissue more difficult to tenderize during post-mortem storage (Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2005). In addition, collagen can adapt to functional demands such as physical activity, and a tendency towards increased amounts of heat stable collagen has been reported in the *Longissimus dorsi* of pigs performing physical exercise (Petersen *et al.*, 1997). Combes *et al.* (2003a) documented that collagen content is similar or slightly higher in organic outdoor rabbits than in confined animals, while the heat-solubility of collagen is not modified by the rearing system. However, differences in tenderness between organic and conventional meat may be very subtle as neither a descriptive sensory panel, nor a consumer preference test, could discriminate organic from conventional pig meat (Olsson *et al.*, 2003; Millet *et al.*, 2005). These results suggest that other factors, such as genetics, and slaughter age and procedures might interfere and prevail in determining the final product tenderness.

High space allowances and grazing are deemed to be related to high energy expenditure and low fatness (Enfalt *et al.*, 1997; Sather *et al.*, 1997). Accordingly, Olsson *et al.* (2003) and Millett *et al.* (2004) observed that, despite a higher energy content in the feed and a lower estimated lean meat percentage for organically raised pigs, intramuscular fat was lower in this product than in that obtained from conventionally raised animals. Nevertheless, no general rule concerning the

1 influence of the outdoor rearing system on intramuscular fat content can be  
2 proposed because of confounding factors such as climatic conditions, level of  
3 space allowance, genotype, feeding level and diet composition offered to the  
4 animals. For instance, the meat of free-range or organic pigs may contain less  
5 intramuscular fat levels (Enfalt *et al.*, 1997; Olsson *et al.*, 2003) than the meat of  
6 indoor animals, while increased (Lebret *et al.*, 2004) or similar (van der Wal *et al.*,  
7 1993) intramuscular fat levels may be observed in pigs from semi-outdoor housing  
8 systems as compared to indoor rearing. An increase in intramuscular fat level can  
9 enhance consumer acceptability of pork (Fernandez *et al.*, 1999b), as several  
10 studies suggest a favourable relationship between intramuscular fat, juiciness and  
11 tenderness (Hodgson *et al.*, 1991; Fernandez *et al.*, 1999a).

12 A higher crude protein content and lower water/protein ratio seems to be one of  
13 the more consistent characteristics of meat from pigs raised in a more extensive  
14 way (Dworschak *et al.*, 1995; Enfalt *et al.*, 1997; Olsson *et al.*, 2003). Another  
15 consequence of alternative production systems on meat chemical composition is  
16 the higher ash (Olsson *et al.*, 2003) and mineral (zinc, copper and iron) contents  
17 (Dworschak *et al.*, 1995), as the metal binding capacity of proteins may be higher  
18 in the muscles of pigs kept outdoors as compared to conventionally raised animals.  
19 In addition, higher iron content may be associated with the higher myoglobin  
20 levels often observed in the muscles of exercised and organically reared animals  
21 (Shorthose and Harris, 1991).

### 24 17.2.2 Feeding

25 Major differences with conventional/intensive systems include the assumption of  
26 spontaneous or cultivated fresh forages at the pasture, higher forage- to-concentrate  
27 ratio, the prevalent use of organically-produced ingredients, and the ban of  
28 synthetic amino acids, antibiotics and growth promoters as feed ingredients. The  
29 effects of these latter two aspects will be discussed in the subsequent paragraph.

30 A higher nutrient content in organic crops has been observed in comparison  
31 with conventional feed. The latter may contain more water, thus causing nutrient  
32 dilution (Worthington, 1998). A higher crude protein content in organically  
33 produced feed may determine reduced intramuscular fat contents in organic pigs  
34 (Olsson *et al.*, 2003; Essen-Gustavsson *et al.*, 1994).

35 When animals can benefit from an outdoor area, energy requirements for  
36 activity and thermoregulation will increase. Hence, they may require a feed with a  
37 higher energy-to-amino acid ratio. However, the main problem in non-ruminant  
38 nutrition is the difficulty in finding protein sources with a well-balanced amino  
39 acid pattern. The exclusion of synthetic amino acids has to be compensated for by  
40 other protein sources; for instance, the use of grain legumes has been suggested  
41 (faba beans, peas and lupines) for pigs (Sundrum *et al.*, 2000). Although weight  
42 gain and feeding efficiency may be reduced, no amino acid supplementation  
43 (Sundrum *et al.*, 2000) or the consumption of diets with low protein-to-energy  
44 ratios (Blanchard *et al.*, 1999) favour the production of meat with high  
45 intramuscular fat, which is an important positive aspect of eating quality character-

istics (Fernandez *et al.*, 1999a). According to Millet *et al.* (2006), in the diet of finishing pigs protein content has limited effects on meat characteristics. Thus, in this phase, reduced lysine levels, compared with those used in conventional pig diets, may be fed to organic pigs without relevant consequences on meat quality (Millet *et al.*, 2006).

In ruminant nutrition, protein sources alternative to soya bean (faba beans, peas and lupines) are being studied in order to minimise the risk of GMO contamination. Preliminary results indicate that faba beans did not change colour, water-holding capacity (Sodo *et al.*, 2007), chemical composition, tenderness and cooking loss (Ragni *et al.*, 2006) of beef, whereas the use of lupin induced a lower intramuscular fat as compared to soya bean based diets (Ragni *et al.*, 2007).

A restricted concentrate feeding plus *ad libitum* roughages resulted in lower intramuscular fat and slight lower tenderness in pig meat (Danielsen *et al.*, 2000; Hansen *et al.*, 2006). The decreased tenderness of meat from the restricted-fed pigs may be due to a reduced daily gain, which results in slower muscle growth, slower protein turnover and lower meat proteolytic potential (Therkildsen *et al.*, 2002).

Higher levels of roughage and larger intakes of fresh grass can determine changes in the fatty acid profile of intramuscular and depot fat, resulting in higher ratios of unsaturated to saturated fat. A marked effect of grass-based diets was observed in organic beef cattle (Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2005), lambs (Enser *et al.*, 1998), free-range reared pigs (Dufey, 1995; Nilzen *et al.*, 2001; Oksbjerg *et al.*, 2005; Hansen *et al.*, 2006), broilers (Castellini *et al.*, 2002a) and rabbits (Pla *et al.*, 2007). These effects on fatty acid composition could depend either on high roughage intake or on restricted feeding leading to leaner carcasses (Oksbjerg *et al.*, 2005). A leaner meat, in fact, has a higher proportion of phospholipids that are richer in polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and particularly in C20 and C22 fatty acids (Elmor *et al.*, 1999). An improvement in fatty acid composition of bovine muscles, with a higher polyunsaturated to saturated ratio, was also found in Podolian young bulls fed with a higher forage-to-concentrate ratio in the diet according to EC-Regulation 1804/1999 (Marino *et al.*, 2006). In addition, meats from cattle (French *et al.*, 2000; Yang *et al.*, 2002; Realini *et al.*, 2004; Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2005) and lambs (Santos *et al.*, 2002) fed large amounts of roughage, or fed on pasture, have a higher content of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), an intermediate product of ruminal biohydrogenation, with beneficial effects on human health (Pariza *et al.*, 2001).

However, an increased amount of PUFA in muscles can make meat more susceptible to lipid oxidation. Meat from organic broilers shows higher 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) values in comparison with conventional products (Castellini *et al.*, 2002a; Lawlor *et al.*, 2003).

Thermal and oxidative degradation of unsaturated fatty acids yield a number of carbonyl compounds that influence flavour (Griebenow *et al.*, 1997). Carcasses from beef slaughtered directly from pasture can have a grassy flavour that may derive from the action of ruminal microorganisms breaking down chlorophyll (Griebenow *et al.*, 1997). However, finishing of steers with large amounts of roughage had only minor detrimental effects on eating quality as compared to

1 finishing with barley *ad libitum*, so it is questionable if the consumer can taste any  
2 differences (Andersen *et al.*, 2002).

3 The lower lipid stability of the meat from organic animals may be also due to the  
4 higher content of metallic ions (total and haem Fe) catalysing peroxidation  
5 (Fukozawa and Fuji, 1992). Physical exercise can increase the amount of haem-  
6 iron (Hoffmann, 1995), particularly in the more oxidative muscles (Petersen *et al.*,  
7 1997).

8 In cattle, Walshe *et al.* (2006) found that organic and conventional beef had  
9 similar levels of natural antioxidants, but organic samples were higher in fat  
10 content and were therefore more susceptible to lipid oxidation and less colour  
11 stable. However, in general, beef produced on pasture could have lower TBARS  
12 levels than meat from grain-fed animals, due to the protection conferred by natural  
13 products present in grass (Descalzo *et al.*, 2005; 2007). Feeding cattle on pasture,  
14 in fact, confers higher levels of vitamin E,  $\beta$ -carotene (Yang *et al.*, 2002; Descalzo  
15 *et al.*, 2005) and vitamin C (Descalzo *et al.*, 2005). In addition, feeding grass silage  
16 causes a higher vitamin E concentration in beef compared to maize silage  
17 (O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2003). Higher levels of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol were also found in the  
18 meat from free-range pigs with access to pasture (Nilzen *et al.*, 2001). In pigs, the  
19 feed formulated according to the European regulation contained more vitamin E  
20 than the conventional feed (25.3 compared with 19.9 mg  $\alpha$ -tocopherol/100 g feed),  
21 which led to higher vitamin E levels in the meat of organically raised animals  
22 (Hogberg *et al.*, 2002).

23 Grazing and high amounts of roughage can result in yellow fat, due to the high  
24 levels of carotene in the feedstuff that is not totally degraded to vitamin A in the  
25 intestinal mucosa (Therkildsen *et al.*, 1995): the longer cattle graze on pasture, the  
26 higher are  $\beta$ -carotene levels (up to 50% higher than those finished on grain) in  
27 plasma, muscle, and adipose tissues (Yang *et al.*, 2002).

28 Forage effects on tenderness are controversial as in some cases their consump-  
29 tion produces an improvement as compared to concentrate (Oltjen *et al.*, 1971),  
30 whereas in other studies no differences in tenderness between grain- and forage-  
31 finishing beef (French *et al.*, 2000, 2001) or higher tenderness in grain-fed cattle  
32 (Bennett *et al.*, 1995) was observed.

33 Flavour is highly dependent on diet, and, in general, high-energy grain diets  
34 induce a more acceptable intense flavour than low-energy forage or grass diets  
35 (Melton, 1990; Kerth *et al.*, 2007).

### 38 17.2.3 Genotype

39 Organic farming should be based on the use of indigenous genotypes, well adapted  
40 to extensive rearing conditions and the difficulty of the surrounding environment.

41 In Europe, organic poultry systems frequently employ slow-growing meat  
42 birds, which have a growing period of at least 81 d. Most USDA organic  
43 production, on the other hand, relies on the same fast-growing genotype used in  
44 conventional systems. According to Castellini *et al.* (2002b), slow-growing  
45 genotypes show a good adaptation to extensive rearing conditions, whereas fast-

growing genotypes show unbalanced muscle response to the greater activity and reduced oxidative stability of the meat.

The effect of genotype often interacts with age: for instance, slow-growing chickens require a longer rearing period (Farmer *et al.* 1997), but also local and rustic cattle and pig breeds may need a longer finishing period due to their lower weight gains which are a consequence of either a lower genetic selection or a more fibrous diet. Obviously, meat quality is affected by the degree of maturity of the animals at slaughter (Castellini *et al.*, 2002b).

Genotype effect on poultry meat colour is not directly manifest. Slow-growing genotypes usually show redder and darker meat compared with fast growing birds (Le Bihan-Duval *et al.*, 1999; Berri *et al.*, 2001). This is probably due the higher content of myoglobin in the muscles of slow-growing animals (Gordon and Charles, 2002), which achieve their slaughter weight at an older age (Fanatico *et al.*, 2006). Other authors ascribe differences in redness among genotypes to differences in the type of muscle fibre (Lonergan *et al.*, 2003). In addition, the longer period spent foraging by slow growing birds may determine a more yellow meat (Fanatico *et al.*, 2005). In general, the meat from fast growing broilers has more fat, lower pH<sub>u</sub> and iron, and is paler (Castellini *et al.*, 2002b).

Genotype effects on broiler meat quality are also evident on water holding capacity (Fanatico *et al.*, 2005; Lonergan *et al.*, 2003; Rizzi *et al.*, 2007) and tenderness (Fanatico *et al.*, 2005): both are lower in alternative genotypes. Texture differences may be due to the higher slaughter age of slow-growing genotypes and, consequently, to the higher content of mature collagen crosslinks (Fletcher, 2002). Differences in tenderness may be also ascribed to the fact that fast growing genotypes have larger muscle fibers with different proteolytic potentials (Dransfield and Sosnicki, 1999).

The higher drip and cooking losses found for the muscles of slow growing genotypes can affect sensory profile of meat, which may be dryer than in fast growing birds (Fanatico *et al.*, 2006). Although a trained panel perceived some differences in texture and flavour between the meats from conventional and alternative genotypes, the consumer panel did not detect differences in liking (Fanatico *et al.*, 2006). The capacity of a panel to discriminate meat from slow- v fast-growing genotypes is largely debated (Richardson and Mead, 1999).

Organic pigs are required to eat large amounts of roughage. Thus it is necessary to find suitable genotypes in order to convert this roughage into useful nutrients (Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2001). In addition, animals should be pigmented and adapted to outdoor conditions. As a consequence, many organic farms rely either on commercial hybrids presenting large proportions of Duroc, or on the pure Duroc breed or on local pigmented breeds. The Duroc genotype has a positive influence on taste and juiciness in comparison with Landrace (Oliver *et al.*, 1994) or with Yorkshire (Enfalt *et al.*, 1997), whereas no effect on colour or water holding capacity was observed (Oliver *et al.*, 1994; Enfalt *et al.*, 1997). However, this breed may give rise to an increased amount of inter-muscular fat with detrimental effects on meat products such as ham (Wood *et al.*, 2004). Pork from local breeds is often characterised by higher fat percentage, which is detrimental

1 for human health (Zullo *et al.*, 2003). However, breeds of high fat production  
2 potential and high levels of subcutaneous fat tend to produce more saturated meat,  
3 which makes the product more suitable for transformation (Wood *et al.*, 1989).

4 In cattle, some Spanish rustic breeds (Avileña-Negra Ibérica, Morucha and  
5 Retinta) do not differ from double muscled, dual-purpose or fast growth rate  
6 genotypes in terms of juiciness, fibrosity or overall flavour intensity (Campo *et al.*,  
7 1999), whereas other native bovine genotypes, such as Podolian cattle, showed  
8 significant differences in comparison with Limousine × Podolian crossbred with  
9 an improved fatty acid profile in terms of PUFA content and a lower tenderness  
10 (Braghieri *et al.*, 2005). Differences in shear force and sensory tenderness were  
11 found between Hereford, Hereford × Friesian, and Friesian steer grazed at pasture  
12 when compared immediately after slaughter. Such differences were possibly  
13 associated to a higher calpastatin to  $\mu$ -calpain ratio in the meat from Friesian steers,  
14 which was less tender. However, after an appropriate period of ageing (6–9 days)  
15 these differences disappeared (Muir *et al.*, 2000). Ageing time, in fact, seems to  
16 reduce toughness differences among breeds (Campo *et al.*, 1999).

#### 17 18 19 **17.2.4 Mutilations**

20 A central concern in organic husbandry is the welfare of the farmed animals. This  
21 does not mean that it is important only to keep the animals healthy and to prevent  
22 anxiety, pain and suffering, but that it is also important to respect the integrity of  
23 the animals (Verhoog *et al.*, 2004). One aspect of the nature of cattle is that they use  
24 horns in the expression of social behaviour. Therefore, in organic husbandry,  
25 dehorning should be avoided. The EU regulation states that these operations  
26 should be not carried out systematically, although for reasons of safety they may  
27 be authorised by the inspection authority. Many farmers see problems of working  
28 safely with horned cattle. In addition, the risk of injuries for the animals increases,  
29 with possible negative effects on carcass quality (higher incidence of bruised  
30 muscles), while demands regarding housing design, space allowances and man-  
31 agement are higher. In organic farms, the use of tie stalls is limited in time;  
32 therefore, the percentage of herds with dehorned animals is increasing with the  
33 introduction of loose housing systems.

34 As for de-horning, the production of entire animals may be more in line with the  
35 organic principles of naturalness. However, many organic dairy farmers do not  
36 consider castration unethical as they prefer not to engage in outdoor young bull  
37 production due to handling and fencing problems, particularly when there are  
38 heifers nearby (Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2002). Security of the farmer and the  
39 public may be also at risk, when bulls are on pasture. Bulls are well suited to  
40 intensive feeding systems as they have a greater potential for muscle growth, with  
41 higher live-weight gains (about 20%), better feed efficiency (about 15%), and  
42 leaner carcasses (Jarrige and Auriol, 1992) than steers. Conversely, the latter tend  
43 to accumulate fat, thus they may be preferred in organic systems where the amount  
44 of roughage administered to the animals is higher and the grazing period longer  
45 (Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2005). Steer meat generally shows a better eating quality

in terms of tenderness, juiciness and taste (Andersen and Ingvarsten, 1984; Steen and Kilpatrick, 1995), as it is higher in marbling (intramuscular fat), lower in collagen content, and higher in collagen solubility (Temisan, 1989).

Castration is extensively performed also in heavy pig organic farming, when the objective is transformation into meat products such as cured ham, and boar taint may become a problem, as the animals are slaughtered after they are sexually mature.

### 17.2.5 Conclusive remarks

Differences between organic and conventional meats may vary markedly according to the interpretation of organic rules by farmers. The diversity can be very small if the systems differ only in terms of origin of feed (organic v. conventional) administered to the animals, or very large when a comprehensive organic approach, including breed, components of diet, percentage of forage in the ration, grazing and space allowance, is adopted. However, the higher quality of organic meats is related to process characteristics rather than to subtle differences in sensory attributes or nutritional characteristics: product safety, animal welfare, biodiversity and environment safeguard can provide an ethical value to the organic product, which may become even higher if associated to traditional farming systems and typical meat productions.

## 17.3 Safety and healthiness of organic meat

The IFOAM's principle of health states that 'Organic Agriculture should sustain and enhance the health of soil, plant, animal, human and planet as one and indivisible'. Health is the wholeness and integrity of living systems. In view of this the use of fertilizers, pesticides, animal drugs and food additives that may have adverse health effects should be avoided. Based on this principle, consumers usually perceive organic meat as safer and healthier compared to conventional product and this is the main reason for purchasing organic food. Organic processing and banning animal flour, GMO food and chemicals in animal feeding, gives consumers the assurance to avoid many diseases (bovine spongiform encephalopathy *Escherichia coli* O157 infections, dioxin toxicity, foot and mouth disease) affecting modern livestock (Magkos *et al.*, 2006).

### 17.3.1 Chemical residues

Organic regulations require that animals have to be fed on organically produced feedstuffs; thus the potential for contamination with pesticide residues and other agricultural chemicals is greatly reduced compared to conventional farming methods. However, organic agriculture, albeit reducing the global level of pollution, cannot avoid contaminations from persistent environmental pollutants, which can potentially be present in organic feedstuffs and hence in organic meat.

1 For instance, Smith *et al.* (1997) detected levels of pesticide residues above  
2 American threshold limits in liver from beef cattle produced under natural and  
3 organic conditions, although muscle and fat were not affected. However, the same  
4 authors recorded a much higher number of detectable, but non-violative,  
5 chlorinated-hydrocarbon and organophosphate residues in conventional samples  
6 as compared to organic meat, while natural beef showed intermediate levels. In  
7 another study, pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyl residues in both organic  
8 and conventional meat were lower than legal limits, while lead and cadmium  
9 residues were very low and did not differ between organic and conventional meat  
10 (Ghidini *et al.*, 2005).

### 13 17.3.2 Growth promotants

14 Organic rules do not allow the use of growth promotants, such as antibiotics and  
15 hormones, for growing and fattening animals. If antibiotics are used to restore an  
16 animal to health, that animal cannot be used for organic production or be sold as  
17 organic.

18 The practice of administering growth promoters to livestock has been an issue  
19 of scientific debate and public concern for many years. European Union banned  
20 anabolic steroids in 1986 and did not authorise the use of alternative substances  
21 such as  $\beta$ -agonists, the physiological analogue of adrenalin, for repartitioning  
22 purposes (increase lean meat to fat ratio) and feed conversion efficiency improve-  
23 ment (Allen *et al.*, 1987). In contrast, the USA beef cattle industry has adopted the  
24 use of anabolic implants as a routine management practice because of market  
25 incentives to increase growth rates and reduce costs of live weight gain. Approved  
26 anabolic implants are either estrogenic, or androgenic, or both (Morgan, 1997).

27 The risk associated with the use of hormones, in particular oestradiol, is the  
28 carcinogenic effect exerted in their both initiating and promoting tumours  
29 (Devanesan *et al.*, 2001). Little information is available on hormone residues in  
30 conventional meat as compared to the organic product. Smith *et al.* (1997) detected  
31 no residues of anabolic steroids (testosterone, oestradiol, progesterone) and  
32 xenobiotics (zeranol, trenbolone acetate) in conventional, natural and organic beef  
33 samples. However, a dose-dependent increase in residue levels of various  
34 hormones, particularly at the implantation sites, has been observed (Hageleit *et al.*,  
35 2000). Thus, misplaced implants and repeated implanting, which seem to occur  
36 frequently, represent a considerable risk that contaminated meats could enter the  
37 food chain.

38 The term 'antibiotic growth promoter' is used to describe any medicine that  
39 destroys or inhibits bacteria and is administered at a low, sub-therapeutic dose. The  
40 use of antibiotics for growth promotion rose with the intensification of livestock  
41 farming. Infectious agents reduce the yield of farmed food animals and, to control  
42 these, the administration of sub-therapeutic antibiotics and antimicrobial agents  
43 has been shown to be effective. There is controversy surrounding the administra-  
44 tion of growth promoters to animals used for meat production, as overuse of any  
45 antibiotic over a period of time leads to the local bacterial populations becoming



resistant to the antibiotic. In 2006, the European Union banned the feeding of all antibiotics and related drugs to livestock for growth promotion purposes, whereas in the USA a wide range of antibiotics is used as growth promoters for pigs, including some substances (penicillins, lincosamides and macrolides, including erythromycin and tetracyclines) considered to be ‘medically important’ (JETACAR, 1999). In addition, in USA pigs are exposed to a range of other antibiotic growth promoters compounds such as bacitracin, flavophospholipol, pleuromutilins, quinoxalines, virginiamycin and arsenical compounds. Compounds used as antibiotic growth promoters for cattle and poultry include flavophospholipol and virginiamycin. Cattle are also exposed to ionophores such as monensin, while poultry are given arsenical compounds.

Human health can be affected directly through residues of antibiotic in meat, which can cause side-effects. However, the major concern connected to the administration of low levels of antimicrobial drugs to food-producing animals is the potential selection of antibiotic resistance determinants that may spread to a human pathogen (Hamer and Gill, 2002). The analyses of conventional, natural and organic beef detected no antibiotic residues (penicillin, tylosin, erythromycin, tetracycline) in the three product categories (Smith *et al.*, 1997).

Despite the improved carcass conformation and the larger *Longissimus* muscle areas induced by anabolic growth promotants and  $\beta$ -agonists, these compounds can compromise carcass (Roeber *et al.*, 2000) and meat eating quality (Lowman *et al.*, 1991). Although in a recent study Berthiaume *et al.* (2006) did not observe differences in marbling and lean colour between ‘natural’ beef cattle (i.e. produced without the use of ionophores and hormonal implants) and steers with hormone implants, generally hormonal growth promotants and  $\beta$ -agonists can reduce marbling and increase dark cutting incidence (Roeber *et al.*, 2000). Similar results were obtained by Woodward and Fernandez (1999) using ionophore treated steer and organic steers. According to Duckett *et al.* (1999), implanting alters intramuscular lipid amount and composition through a dilution effect with the increase in muscle size. In other studies, these treatments induced lower sensory tenderness (Roeber *et al.*, 2000) and higher shear force, possibly associated to lower marbling levels (Roeber *et al.*, 2000; Platter *et al.*, 2003; Smith *et al.*, 2007). Through inhibition of the calpain/calpastatin proteolytic system (Simmons *et al.*, 1997), in fact,  $\beta$ -agonists can increase the shear-force of meat in a dose-related manner by up to threefold (Vestergaard *et al.*, 1994).

### 17.3.3 Parasite and bacterial contaminations

The growth of the organic food market has been supported by consumers’ perception of organic products as safer (Sundrum, 2001). In fact, in organic farms, animals are generally kept in more appropriate environments where animal resistance to infections is promoted and risk of microbial contamination of meat is reduced. However, organic livestock production is not designed to reduce pathogen loads in food animals (Engvall, 2001; Thamsborg, 2001). The limited use of curative and preventive conventional medicines, the ban of antimicrobial

1 compounds, as well as the outdoor rearing, the incorporation of biological cycles  
2 within the farm (use of organic manure within a farm, may carry the risk of  
3 recirculating infectious pathogens) and the use of very small slaughtering facilities  
4 involve potentially higher microbiological safety risks.

5 Providing chickens with access to an outdoor area may increase the risk of  
6 poultry becoming infected with *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* due to contact  
7 with wild birds and other animals and their faeces. *Campylobacter* is the most  
8 common cause of gastroenteritis in the United States, (Altekruse *et al.*, 1999), the  
9 UK (Frost, 2000) and worldwide. The prevalent route of transmission to humans  
10 is the ingestion of raw or undercooked poultry meat (Javid and Ahmed, 2002).  
11 Engvall (2001) stated that almost 100% of the organically farmed flocks in Sweden  
12 might be infected with *Campylobacter*, compared with only 10% of the  
13 conventionally reared flocks. These findings were confirmed in recent Danish and  
14 Dutch studies (Heuer *et al.*, 2001; Rodenburg *et al.*, 2004). Organic poultry are at  
15 particular risk from *Campylobacter* probably because they are more likely to pick  
16 up the pathogen from the environment, than flocks in conventional housing  
17 systems (Engvall, 2001; Heuer *et al.*, 2001). A difference was found in  
18 *Campylobacter* strains between organic and conventional broiler farms. *C. coli*  
19 appeared to be the predominant species on organic farms, whereas in conventional  
20 broilers 70% of the strains were *C. jejuni*. However, only 7% of the cases of  
21 *Campylobacter*-related illness in humans are caused by the former species (Tam  
22 *et al.*, 2003; Rodenburg *et al.*, 2004). The Dutch data on *Campylobacter* are different  
23 from the Danish ones, where no difference in strains was found between organic  
24 and conventional systems (Heuer *et al.*, 2001).

25 Prevalence of *Salmonella* in organic poultry systems has been recently  
26 investigated in the Netherlands (Rodenburg *et al.*, 2004). The incidence of  
27 *Salmonella* infections in organic broilers was 13% in 2003, a percentage similar to  
28 that found in conventionally reared broilers. In addition a high incidence of  
29 *Toxoplasma* infections has been reported in free-ranging chickens but no data are  
30 available on the presence of *Toxoplasma* infections in organic chickens (Dubey  
31 *et al.*, 2004). *Toxoplasma* does not pose a direct health problem to chickens but is an  
32 important food safety issue (Mead *et al.*, 1999).

33 Outdoor rearing may imply an increased exposure to microbiological agents in  
34 pigs. A comparison of the *Salmonella*-seroprevalence in Danish organic, free-  
35 range, conventional and breeding pig herds (Wingstrand *et al.*, 1999) showed that  
36 the risk of meat juice samples being seropositive was higher for organic and free-  
37 range than for conventional herds (Jensen and Baggesen, 2005).

38 On the other hand, research carried out at Cornell University demonstrated that  
39 organic farming of cattle and sheep can reduce the risk of *Escherichia coli*  
40 infection. The main source for human infection with *E. coli* is meat contaminated  
41 during slaughter. Virulent strains of *E. coli*, such as *E. coli* 0157:H7, seem to  
42 develop in the digestive tract of ruminants when they are fed mainly with starchy  
43 grain, whereas ruminants fed grass, silage and hay generate less than 1% of the  
44 *E. coli* found in the faeces of grain-fed animals (Couzin, 1998).

45 Outdoor rearing has been related to high rates of endoparasite infections such as

several helminth and ascaris species in organic pigs (Carstensen *et al.*, 2002). Similar results have been documented for sheep, cattle, laying hens, and poultry. The prevalence and intensity of parasitic infections were higher in organically than in conventionally raised animals; helminth species diversity was also much higher (Thamsborg *et al.*, 1999; Permin *et al.*, 1999). In addition, there are parasites which are almost exclusively transmitted outdoors. *Hyostromylus rubidus* belong to this category together with parasites with indirect life cycles such as *Metastrongylus* spp., *Ascarops strongylina* and *Physocephalus sexalatus*. In organic pig farms, species with a wide host spectrum, such as *Fasciola hepatica*, *Dicrocoelium dendriticum* and *Trichostrongylus axei*, can be more frequently found (Nansen and Roepstorff, 1999). It has been shown that pigs' fibre-rich diets favour helminth infections, particularly *Oesophagostomum* spp., not only in experimental studies (Petkevicius *et al.*, 1999) but also at farm level (Pearce, 1999). This is an extremely important observation in relation to organic farming, as roughage/forage has to be offered to pigs on a daily basis in most countries.

Even if these parasites do not represent a real risk for human health, because they are destroyed either when the digestive tract is removed or by cooking, their single presence in animals is perceived negatively by consumers (Kouba, 2003).

Comparing organic and conventional carcass quality in terms of pathological effects, Hansson *et al.* (2000) observed that 28% of conventional and 17% of organic pig carcass showed abnormalities, while *eosinophilic miositis* was more prevalent in organically reared cattle.

### 17.3.4 Alternative strategies for animal medication

According to the European regulation, animals must be treated, when possible, with alternative remedies, such as homeopathic and phytotherapeutic treatments, rather than conventional veterinary medicines. Organic farming and homeopathy have similar views on health and disease, aiming to create a more balanced environment in and around the animal and to improve animal resistance to infections. The complementary medicine approach is growing in organic livestock farming practice as there are empirical evidences for the efficacy of alternative treatments (Baars *et al.*, 2003). Limited conventional scientific investigation has been conducted on this topic. However, it is important that the research is not simply carried out in conformity with currently valid scientific standards: it has to be also in line with philosophy of homeopathy. Even less is known on the effect of homeopathic treatment on meat quality.

There is very little literature on the use of herbal veterinary remedies and their possible effects on meat quality. The administration of officinal herbs induced a higher cellular immune response and a lower incidence of puerperium pathologies in buffalo cows (Pacelli *et al.*, 2003), while Bodowski *et al.* (1992) reported the effect of a herbal mixture on muscle composition.

The consumption of forages containing compounds with a high content of condensed tannins (CT), such as *Hedysarum coronarium* and the trefoils (*Lotus* spp.), is able to reduce the impact of intestinal nematodes and nematode larvae in

1 lambs (Iqbal *et al.*, 2007). In the latter species, CT can also prevent bloated rumen  
2 (Waghorn and Jones, 1989). However, high concentrations of CT can have  
3 deleterious effects on animal performance (Pritchard *et al.*, 1992). These sub-  
4 stances bind with and precipitate proteins in the rumen, reduce protein degradation  
5 (McNabb *et al.*, 1996), and reduce the fractional absorption of amino acids  
6 reaching the small intestine, resulting in low digestibility and low voluntary  
7 intakes (Waghorn *et al.*, 1999). The effects of CT on product quality has been  
8 poorly investigated. A lighter colour of meat has been obtained with lambs given  
9 CT-rich diets (Priolo *et al.*, 2000). The mechanism of action of is not clear. It is  
10 likely that tannins do not affect ruminant Fe absorption while inhibiting the  
11 successive utilisation of the iron for synthesis, as suggested by Garg *et al.* (1992).

### 14 **17.3.5 Nutritional properties**

15 From a nutritional point of view, organic meat seems to have healthier properties  
16 compared with conventional product. As already mentioned in Section 17.2.2,  
17 owing to the frequent grazing, organic animals, and ruminants in particular, often  
18 produce a meat with high contents of PUFA, including omega 3 and CLA, that are  
19 known to have positive effect on human health (Nielsen and Thamsborg, 2005). In  
20 a recent study in the Netherlands (Rist *et al.*, 2007), the content of ruminic acid (the  
21 main CLA) and the level of trans-vaccenic acid (a precursor of vaccenic acid) in  
22 human breast milk increased, significantly moving in-line with a complete  
23 consumption of conventional meat to a moderately organic and to a strict organic  
24 meat intake. Since the fat from human breast milk is likely to be of dietary origin,  
25 the larger amounts of ruminic acid and trans-vaccenic acid (TVA) in breast milk  
26 from the organic groups may be due to the corresponding intake of organic meat  
27 products with higher levels of ruminic acid and trans-vaccenic acid. The authors  
28 assumed that the levels of CLA and TVA in human milk may be modulated if  
29 breastfeeding mothers replace conventional meat products by organic ones.

## 32 **17.4 Future trends**

34 The global organic meat market will soon face impediments to free trade as a  
35 consequence of differences in organic standards between countries. As  
36 conventional products tariff barriers are being removed, an effort is needed to  
37 make organic standards from different regions more alike in order to promote trade  
38 liberalization and allow further development of the organic meat market.  
39 However, the main limit to purchasing organic meat remains price because of high  
40 production costs, which are affected by organic rules (higher space allowance,  
41 origin of feedstuffs, etc.) and small-scale production systems. Two strategies to  
42 overcome this constraint have been identified: the spread of organic meat in  
43 supermarkets, which is likely to induce a reduction of current price (this approach  
44 may be suitable for meat which is organic but is otherwise undifferentiated from  
45 conventional products); and the induction of increased willingness to pay by

constant and reliable quality-signalling systems, capable of providing ethical value to the product, which may become even higher if associated with traditional farming systems and typical meat productions. The small-scale production, which often characterises organic meat enterprises, highlights the need for structures collecting and distributing the organic meat aiming to organize and standardize the supply.

As to product quality, the main constraints to the fulfilment of high standards are dark colour and toughness, both due to the physical exercise of extensively reared animals and the particular characteristics of local breeds. The most rapid strategies available for improving these aspects would be an adequately extended post mortem ageing and the crossbreeding of cows exceeding replacement needs with bulls of other breeds producing more tender and less dark meat. Research is also studying protein sources alternative to soya bean in order to minimize the risk of GMO contamination. At least for ruminants raised in the Mediterranean regions, faba bean seems to be a valid substitute.

Increased microbiological and parasitic risks in organic meat are associated with the limited use of conventional medicine, outdoor raising of the animals and incorporation of biological cycles within the farm.

We conclude that, in general, the quality of organic meat may be considered higher than conventional products in terms of fatty acid profile, mineral and antioxidant contents, and risk of chemical and growth promotant residuals. Negative aspects such as toughness and colour can be improved using appropriate techniques, while the risk of bacterial and parasitic infections should be carefully monitored, if possible prevented, keeping the animals in a proper environment, and, when necessary, treated using herbal and/or homeopathic remedies. However, the higher quality of organic meats is related to process characteristics rather than to differences in the end product. Meat safety, animal welfare, biodiversity and environment safeguard are the specific aspects supporting the differentiation of organic meats.

## 17.5 Sources of further information and advice

### *Organic standards for meat producing animals*

IFOAM provides a worldwide common system of standards, verification and market identity: <http://www.ifoam.org/>.

European regulations on organic agriculture and farming: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/en/index.htm> and <http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/qual/organic>. Further information on laws, research and market development at European level can be obtained at <http://www.organic-europe.net/>.

USA organic rules have been issued by USDA within the National Organic Program: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/nop/indexIE.htm>.

### *Organic meat market*

The World of Organic Agriculture 2007 – Statistics and Emerging Trends 2007 – International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM), Bonn, Germany: <http://www.organic-world.net/default.asp>.

1 Basic data on organic agriculture in Europe: [www.europa.eu.int/comm/eurostat/](http://www.europa.eu.int/comm/eurostat/) and <http://www.organic-market.info>.  
 2 /  
 3 Data on North American production and supply of organic products: [http://www.ers.usda.gov/](http://www.ers.usda.gov/Briefing/Organic)  
 4 Briefing/Organic and <http://www.ota.com/index.html>.  
 5 FAO (2002), Market developments for organic meat and dairy products: implications for  
 6 developing countries. Committee on commodity problems, Rome 27–29 August (2002).  
 7 It is available at: <http://www.fao.org/DOCREP/MEETING/004/Y6976E.HTM>

### 8 *Quality and safety of organic meat*

9 The proceedings of the four thematic Workshops organised by the NAHWOA (Network for  
 10 Animal Health and Welfare in Organic Agriculture) are published on: <http://www.veeru.reading.ac.uk/organic/proceedings.htm>

11 The proceedings of the four thematic Workshops organised by the SAFO (Sustaining Animal  
 12 Health and Food Safety in Organic Farming) are published on: <http://www.safonetwork.org/>  
 13 FAO (2000), *Food safety and quality as affected by organic farming. 22<sup>nd</sup> FAO Regional*  
 14 *Conference for Europe*, Porto, Portugal, 24–28 July 2000: [http://www.fao.org/docrep/](http://www.fao.org/docrep/meeting/X4983e.htm#P189_32631)  
 15 [meeting/X4983e.htm#P189\\_32631](http://www.fao.org/docrep/meeting/X4983e.htm#P189_32631).

16 *Organic meat and milk from ruminants* (2002), EAAP Publication n. 106, I. Kyriazakis and  
 17 G. Zervas (eds), Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands.

18 *Animal Health and Welfare in Organic Agriculture* (2004), M. Vaarst, S. Roderick, V. Lund,  
 19 W. Lockeretz (eds), Wallingford, UK, CABI Publishing.

20 Organizations promoting the research on organic production

21 CORE Organic: <http://www.coreorganic.org/>

22 The International Research Association for Organic Food Quality and Health (FQH):  
 23 [www.organicfqhresearch.org](http://www.organicfqhresearch.org).

24 The International Society of Organic Agriculture Research (ISO FAR): <http://www.isofar.org/>

### 25 *Institutions involved in research on organic production*

26 Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL): [www.fibl.org](http://www.fibl.org).

27 University of Kassel: <http://www.uni-kassel.de/agrar/>

28 Danish Research Centre for Organic Farming (DARCOF): <http://www.darcof.dk/>.

29 Archive of papers related to research in organic agriculture (Organic Eprints): <http://www.orgprints.org/>

## 31 **17.6 Acknowledgement**

32 We are grateful to the Regione Marche for supporting the program  
 33 E.QU.I.ZOO.BIO, 'Efficienza, Qualità e Innovazione nella Zootecnia Biologica',  
 34 and thereby the effort of the authors.  
 35

## 37 **17.7 References**

38 A C Nielsen (2005), *Organic and functional foods have plenty of room to grow according*  
 39 *to new ACNielsen global study*. Available at <http://usacnielsen.com/news/>

40 Allen P J F, Quirke and P V Tarrant (1987), Effects of cimaterol on the growth, food  
 41 efficiency and carcass quality of Friesian cattle. In: *b-Agonists and their Effects on Animal*  
 42 *Growth and Carcass Quality*, pp 83–89 Elsevier Applied Science, New York.

43 Altekruze S F, Stern N J, Fields P I and Swerdlow D L (1999), *Campylobacter jejuni* – an  
 44 emerging foodborne pathogen, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 5, 28–35.

- Andersen H R and Ingvarsten K L (1984), The influence of energy level weight at slaughter and castration on growth and feed efficiency in cattle, *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 11, 559–569. 1
- Andersen H R, Kristensen T, Bliggaard H B and Thamsborg S M (2002), *Studeproduktion Ved Afgræsning Af Ferske Enge*, Report from DIAS No 40 Danish, Institute of Agricultural Science Foulum Denmark 87. 2
- Baars E, de Bruin A and Ellinger L (2003), Obstacles to homeopathy: Scientific and conceptual; In: E Baars and T Baars (eds) *Desk Study on Homeopathy in Organic Livestock Farming Principles, Obstacles and Recommendations for Practice and Research*, Louis Bolk Institute, 2003, DRIEBERGEN, pp 11–24. 3
- Barton-Gade P A and Blaabjerg L O (1989), Preliminary observations on the behaviour and meat quality of free range pigs, In *35<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Meat Science and Technology*, 20–25 August Copenhagen, Denmark, 1002–1005. 4
- Bennett L L, Hammond A C, Williams M J, Kunkle W E, Johnson D D and Preston R L (1995), Performance carcass yield and carcass quality characteristics of steers finished on rhizome peanut (*Arachis glabrata*) – tropical grass pasture or concentrate, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 1881–1887. 5
- Berri C, Wacrenier N, Millet N and Le Bihan-Duval E (2001), Effect of selection for improved body composition on muscle and meat characteristics of broilers from experimental and commercial lines, *Poult. Sci.*, 80, 833–838. 6
- Berthiaume R, Mandell I, Faucitano L and Lafreniere C (2006), Comparison of alternative beef production systems based on forage finishing or grain–forage diets with or without growth promotants: 1 Feedlot performance, carcass quality, and production costs, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 84, 2168–2177. 7
- Blanchard P J, Ellis M, Warkup C C, Hardy B, Chadwick J P and Deans G A (1999), The influence of rate of lean and fat tissue development on pork eating quality, *Anim. Sci.*, 68, 477–485. 8
- Bodowski R, Patkowska S B and Szmanko T (1992), Effect of natural biostimulator supplements on meat, performance and profitability of lamb fattening, *Biul. Inf. Przem. Pasz.*, 31 (4), 35–46. 9
- Braghieri A, Cifuni G F, Girolami A, Riviezi A M, Marsico I and Napolitano F (2005), Chemical physical and sensory properties of meat from pure and crossbred Podolian bulls at different ageing times, *Meat Sci.*, 69, 681–689. 10
- Bredahl L (2004), Cue utilisation and quality perception with regard to branded beef, *Food Qual. Preference*, 15, 65–75. 11
- Brewer M S and McKeith F K (1999), Consumer related quality characteristics as related to purchase intent of fresh pork, *J. Food Sci.*, 64, 171–174. 12
- Bridi A M, Mueller L and Ribeiro J A R (1998), Indoor vs out-door-rearing of pigs performance carcass and meat quality In Diestre A and Monfort J M (Eds) *44th International Congress of Meat Sci Techn*, 30 August–4 September, Barcelona Spain, 1056–1057 (1998). 13
- Campo M M, Sanudo C, Panea Alberti B P and Santolaria P (1999), Breed type and ageing time effects on sensory characteristics of beef strip loin steaks, *Meat Sci.*, 51, 383–390. 14
- Caporale G and Monteleone E (2004), Influence of information about manufacturing process on beer acceptability, *Food Qual. Pref.*, 15 (3), 271–278. 15
- Carpentier A, Latouche K, Meuwissen M P M and Van Des Lans I (2004), Consumer and citizen concerns and willingness to pay for green pork, *Communication au Séminaire Final de Green Piggery*, Février. 16
- Carstensen L M, Vaarst and Roepstorff A (2002), Helminth infections in Danish organic swine herds, *Vet. Par.*, 106, 253–264. 17
- Castellini C, Mugnai C and Dal Bosco A (2002a), Effect of organic production system on broiler carcass and meat quality, *Meat Sci.*, 60, 219–225. 18
- Castellini C, Mugnai C and Dal Bosco A (2002b), Meat quality of three chicken genotypes reared according to the organic system, *Italian J. Food Sci.*, 14 (4), 411–412. 19
- Combes S, Lebas F, Lebreton L, Martin T, Jehl N, Cauquil L, Darce B and Corboeuf M A 20

- 1 (2003a), Comparison between organic and standard rabbit breeding system: Carcass traits  
2 and chemical composition of 6 muscles from the leg In: Itavi (Ed) *Proc. 10th Journ. Rech.*  
3 *Cunicole*, 133–136.
- 4 Combes S, Lebas F, Juin H, Lebreton L, Martin T, Jehl N, Cauquil L, Darche B and Corboeuf  
5 M A (2003b), Comparison between organic and standard breeding system: Sensory  
6 analysis and mechanical toughness of meat In: Itavi (Ed) *Proc. 10<sup>th</sup> Journ. Rech. Cunicole*,  
7 137–140.
- 8 Couzin J (1998), Cattle Diet Linked to Bacterial Growth, *Science*, 281, 1578–1579.
- 9 Danielsen V, Hansen LL, Moller F, Bejerholm C and Nielsen S (2000), Production results  
10 and sensory meat quality of pigs fed different amounts of concentrate ad lib Clover grass  
11 or clover grass silage. In: *Ecological Animal Husbandry in the Nordic Countries*, NJF  
12 seminar No 303, 79–86 16–17 September, Horsens Denmark.
- 13 Descalzo A M, Insani E M, Biolatto A, Sancho A M, Garcia P T and Pensel N A (2005),  
14 Influence of pasture or grain-based diets supplemented with vitamin E on antioxidant/  
15 oxidative balance of Argentine beef, *Meat Sci.*, 70 (1), 35–44.
- 16 Descalzo A M, Rossetti L, Grigioni G, Irurueta M, Sancho A M, Carrete J and Pensel N A  
17 (2007), Antioxidant status and odour profile in fresh beef from pasture or grain-fed cattle,  
18 *Meat Sci.*, 75, 299–307.
- 19 Devanesan P, Santen R J, Bocchinfuso W P, Korach R S, Rogan E G and Cavalieri E (2001),  
20 Catechol estrogen metabolites and conjugates in mammary tumors and hyperplastic tissue  
21 from estrogen receptor-alpha knock-out (ERKO)/Wnt-1 mice: Implications for initiation  
22 of mammary tumors, *Carcinogenesis*, 22, 1573–1576.
- 23 Dransfield E and Sosnicki A A (1999), Relationship between muscle growth and poultry  
24 meat quality, *Poultry Sci.*, 78, 743.
- 25 Dransfield E, Ngapo T M, Nielsen N A, Bredahl L, Sjoden P O, Magnusson M, Campo M  
26 M and Nute G R (2005), Consumer choice and suggested price for pork as influenced by  
27 its appearance, taste and information concerning country of origin and organic pig  
28 production, *Meat Sci.*, 69, 61–70.
- 29 Dube J P, Salant H, Sreekumar C, Dahl E, Vianna M C, Shen S K, Kwok O C, Spira D,  
30 Hamburger J and Lehmann T V (2004), High prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in a  
31 commercial flock of chickens in Israel, and public health implications of free-range  
32 farming, *Vet. Par.*, 121, 317–322.
- 33 Duckett S K, Wagner D G, Owens F N H, Dolezal G and Gill D R (1999), Effects of anabolic  
34 implants on beef intramuscular lipid content, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 77, 1100–1104.
- 35 Dufey PA (1995), Fleisch und Fettqualität bei Schweinemast mit Weidegang, *Agrarforschung*,  
36 2 (10), 453–456.
- 37 Dworschak E, Barna E, Gergely A, Czuczy P, Hovari J, Kontraszti M, Gaal O, Radnoti L,  
38 Biro G and Kaltenecker J (1995), Comparison of some components of pigs kept in natural  
39 (free-range) and large-scale condition, *Meat Sci.*, 39, 79–86.
- 40 Elmor J S, Mottram D S, Enser M and Wood J D (1999), Effect of the polyunsaturated fatty  
41 acid composition of beef muscle on the profile of aroma volatiles, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*,  
42 47, 1619–1625.
- 43 Enfalt A C, Lundstrom K, Hansson I, Karlsson A, Essen-Gustavsson B and Hakansson J  
44 (1993), Moderate indoor exercise: Effect on production and carcass traits, muscle enzyme  
45 activities and meat quality in pigs, *Anim. Prod.*, 57, 127–135.
- 46 Enfalt A C, Lundstrom K, Hansson I, Lundeheim N and Nystrom P E (1997), Effects of  
47 outdoor rearing and sire breed (Duroc or Yorkshire) on carcass composition and sensory  
48 and technological meat quality, *Meat Sci.*, 45, 1–15.
- 49 Engvall A (2001), May organically farmed animals pose a risk for *Campylobacter* infections  
50 in humans? *Acta Vet. Scand., Suppl.*, 95, 85–87.
- 51 Enser M, Hallet K G, Hewett B, Fursey G A J, Wood J D and Harrington G (1998), Fatty acid  
52 content and composition of UK beef and lamb muscle in relation to production system and  
53 implications for human nutrition, *Meat Sci.*, 49, 329–341.
- 54 Essen-Gustavsson B, Karlsson A, Lundstrom K and Enfalt A C (1994), Intramuscular fat and



- muscle fibre lipid contents in halothane-gene-free pigs fed high or low protein diets and its relation to meat quality, *Meat Sci.*, 38, 269–277. 1
- Essen-Gustavsson B, Lundstrom K, Larsson G, Lindholm A, Nordin A C, Hansson I and Tornberg E (1988), The effect during growth of moderate exercise on muscle metabolic characteristics in vivo and relation to meat quality and sensory properties. In Chandler C S and Thornton R F (Eds), *34th International Congress of Meat Science and Technology*, 27–30 29 August–2 September, Brisbane Australia, (1988). 2
- European Commission (2005), *Report on Organic Farming in the European Union – Facts and Figures*. Bruxelles Belgium, Available at <http://ceuropeau/agriculture/qual/organic/indexhtm> 3
- European Communities (1991), Council Regulation (EEC) No 2092/1991, Regulation on organic production of agricultural products and indications referring thereto on agricultural products and foodstuffs. *Off. J. Eur. Communities*, L 198, 1–15. 4
- European Communities (1999), Council Regulation (EC) No 1804/1999, Supplementing Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91 on organic production of agricultural products and indications referring thereto on agricultural products and foodstuffs to include livestock production. *Off. J. Eur. Communities*, L 222, 1–28. 5
- Fanatico A C, Cavitt L C, Pillai P B, Emmert J L and Owens C M (2005), Evaluation of slower-growing broiler genotypes grown with and without outdoor access: Meat quality, *Poultry Sci.*, 84, 1785–1790. 6
- Fanatico A, Pillai P, Emmert J, Meullenet J and Owens C M (2006), Impact of alternative boiler genotype and production system on sensory attributes, *Proc. of 95th Annual Meeting of Poultry Science*, Association July 16–19 Univ Alberta-Edmonton, Canada S-P172, 198 (2006). 7
- FAO (2001), *Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling and Marketing of Organically Produced Foods*, GL 32-1999, rev 1–2001. 8
- FAO (2002), *Market developments for organic meat and dairy products: implications for developing countries*. Committee on commodity problems, Rome 27–29 August (2002) Available at <http://www.fao.org/> 9
- Farmer L J, Perry G C, Lewis P D, Nute G R, Piggott J R and Patterson R L S (1997), Responses of two genotypes of chicken to the diets and stocking densities of conventional UK and Label Rouge production systems. II. Sensory attributes, *Meat Sci.*, 47, 77. 10
- Fernandez X (1991), A review of the causes of variation in muscle glycogen content and ultimate pH in pigs, *J. Muscle Foods*, 2, 209–235. 11
- Fernandez X, Monin G, Talmant A, Mouro J and Lebret B (1999a), Influence of intramuscular fat content on the quality of pig meat. 1. Composition of the lipid fraction and sensory characteristics of m longissimus lumborum, *Meat Sci.*, 53, 59–65. 12
- Fernandez X, Monin G, Talmant A, Mouro J and Lebret B (1999b), Influence of intramuscular fat content on the quality of pig meat. 2. Consumer acceptability of m longissimus lumborum, *Meat Sci.*, 53, 67–72. 13
- Fletcher D L (2002), Poultry meat quality World's, *Poultry Sci. J.*, 58, 131–145. 14
- French P, Stanton C, Lawless F, O'Riordan E G, Monahan F J, Carey P J and Moloney A P (2000), Fatty acid composition including conjugated linoleic acid of intramuscular fat from steers on grazed grass, grass silage or concentrate-based diets, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 78, 2849–2855. 15
- French P, O'Riordan E G, Monahan F J, Caffrey P J, Mooney M T, Troy D J and Moloney A P (2001), The eating quality of meat of steers fed grass and/or concentrates, *Meat Sci.*, 57 (4), 379–386. 16
- Frost J A (2000), *Campylobacter* in humans and sources of infection. Abstract in conference proceeding: *Zoonotic infections in livestock and the risk to public health*, Anon (2000). Available at <http://www.defragovuk/animalh/diseases/zoonoses/conference/bookabsPDF> 17
- Fukuzawa K and Fuji T (1992), Peroxide dependent and independent lipid peroxidation site-specific mechanism of initiation by chelated iron inhibition by  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, *Lipids*, 27, 227–233. 18

- 1 Garg S K, Makkar H P S, Nagal K B, Sharma S K, Wadhwa D R and Singh B (1992) Oak  
2 (*Quercus incana*) leaf poisoning in cattle, *Vet. Human Toxicol.*, 34, 161–164.
- 3 Ghidini S, Zanardi E, Battaglia A, Varisco G, Ferretti E, Campanini G and Chizzolini R  
4 (2005), Comparison of contaminant and residue levels in organic and conventional milk  
5 and meat products from Northern Italy, *Food Addit. Contam.*, 22, 9–14.
- 6 Gil J M, Gracia A and Sanchez M (2000), Market segmentation and willingness to pay for  
7 organic products in Spain, *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 3,  
8 207–226.
- 9 Gordon S H and Charles D R (2002), *Niche and organic chicken products*, Nottingham  
10 University Press, Nottingham UK.
- 11 Griebenow R L, Martz F A and Morrow R E (1997), Forage-based beef finishing systems:  
12 A review, *J. Prod. Agric.*, 10 (1), 84–91.
- 13 Grunert K G, Bredahl L and Brunsø K (2004), Consumer perception of meat quality and  
14 implications for product development in the meat sector – a review *Meat Sci.*, 66(2), 259–  
15 272.
- 16 Hageleit M, Daxenberger A, Kraetzl W-D, Kettler A and Meyer H H D (2000), Dose-  
17 dependent effects of melengestrol acetate (MGA) on plasma levels of estradiol, progesterone  
18 and luteinizing hormone in cycling heifers and influences on oestrogen residues in edible  
19 tissues, *Acta Pathologica, Microbiologica et Immunologica Scandinavica*, 108, 847–854.
- 20 Hamer D H and Gill C J (2002), From the farm to the kitchen table: The negative impact of  
21 antimicrobial use in animals on humans, *Nutr. Rev.*, 60, 261–264.
- 22 Hamm U, Gironfeld F and Halpin D (2002), *Analysis of the European Market for Organic  
23 Food: Organic Marketing Initiatives and Rural Development*, (Vol 1) School of Manage-  
24 ment and Business University of Wales, Aberystwyth, Wales, UK.
- 25 Hansen L L, Claudi-Magnussen C, Jensen S K and Andersen H J (2006), Effect of organic  
26 pig production systems on performance and meat quality, *Meat Sci.*, 74, 605–615.
- 27 Hansson I, Hamilton C, Ekman T and Forslund K (2000), Carcass quality in certified organic  
28 production compared with conventional livestock production, *J. Vet. Med.*, 47, 111–  
29 120.
- 30 Henckel P, Karlsson A, Oksbjerg N and Soholm Petersen J (2000), Control of post mortem  
31 pH decrease in pig muscles: Experimental design and testing of animal models, *Meat Sci.*,  
32 55 (1), 131–138.
- 33 Heuer O E, Pedersen K, Andersen J S and Madsen M (2001), Prevalence and antimicrobial  
34 susceptibility of thermophilic *Campylobacter* in organic and conventional broiler flocks,  
35 *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, 33 (4), 269–274.
- 36 Hodgson R R, Davis G W, Smith G C, Savell J W and Cross H R (1991), Relationship  
37 between pork loin palatability traits and physical characteristics of cooked chops, *J. Anim.  
38 Sci.*, 69, 4858–4865.
- 39 Hoffmann G (1995), Sport medical aspects of iron metabolism, *J. Inorganic Biochem.*, 59,  
40 237.
- 41 Hogberg A, Pickova J, Babol J, Andersson K and Dutta P C (2002), Muscle lipids vitamins  
42 E and A and lipid oxidation as affected by diet and RN genotype in female and castrated  
43 male Hampshire crossbreed pigs, *Meat Sci.*, 60 (4), 411–420.
- 44 IFOAM (2002), *IFOAM Basic Standard*, International Federation of Organic Agriculture  
45 Movements, Tholet-Theley, Germany.
- 46 Iqbal Z, Sarwar M, Jabbar A, Ahmed S, Nisa M, Sajid M S, Khan M N, Mufti K A and Yaseen  
47 M (2007), Direct and indirect anthelmintic effects of condensed tannins in sheep, *Vet.  
48 Par.*, 144, 125–131.
- 49 Jarrige R and Auriol P (1992), An outline of world beef production. In: Jarrige R and  
50 Beranger C (Eds) *World Animal Science, Beef Cattle Production*, Elsevier, Amsterdam  
51 pp 3–30.
- 52 Javid M and Ahmed S (2002), *Campylobacter* infections. Available at [http://  
53 www.wemedicine.com/med/topic263htm](http://www.wemedicine.com/med/topic263htm)
- 54 Jensen A N and Baggesen D B (2005), *Salmonella* infection risk associated with outdoor

- organic pig production. *Proceedings of the 4th SAFO Workshop, Systems Development: Quality and Safety in Organic Livestock Products*, Frick, Switzerland, 87. 1
- Joint Expert Advisory Committee on Antibiotic Resistance (1999), *Report of the Joint Expert Advisory Committee on Antibiotic Resistance (JETACAR) on the use of antibiotics in food producing animals: Antibiotic resistant bacteria in animals and humans*. Available at <http://www.healthgovau/pubs/jetacarpdf> Last accessed 28 October 2001. 2
- Kerth C R, Braden K W, Cox R, Kerth L K and Rankins D L J (2007), Carcass sensory fat color and consumer acceptance characteristics of Angus-cross steers finished on ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) forage or on a high-concentrate diet, *Meat Sci.*, 75, 324–331. 3
- Kouba M (2003), Quality of organic animal products, *Liv. Prod. Sci.*, 80 (2003) 33–40. 4
- Lange C, Martin C, Chabanet C, Combris P and Issanchou S (2002), Impact of the information provided to consumers on their willingness to pay for Champagne: Comparison with hedonic scores, *Food Qual. Pref.*, 13, 597–608. 5
- Lauridsen C, Nielsen J H, Henckel P and Sorensen M T (1999), Antioxidative and oxidative status in muscles of pigs fed rapeseed oil, vitamin E and copper, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 77 (1), 105–115. 6
- Lawlor J B, Sheehan E M, Delahunty P A, Morrissey C M and Kerry J P (2003), Oxidative stability of cooked chicken breast burgers obtained from organic free-range and conventionally reared animals, *International J. Poultry Sci.*, 2 (6), 398–403. 7
- Le Bihan-Duval E, Millet N and Remignon H (1999), Broiler meat quality: Effect of selection for increased carcass quality and estimates of genetic parameters, *Poultry Sci.*, 78, 822–826. 8
- Lebreton B, Couvreur S, Dourmad J Y, Meunier-Salaun M C, Guingand N, Robin P, Hassouna M and Cariolet R (2004), Influence of husbandry method on animal welfare and meat quality traits in pigs, *J. Rech. Porcine*, 36, 53–62. 9
- Lewis P D, Perry G C, Farmer L J and Patterson R L S (1997), Responses of Two Genotypes of Chicken to the Diets and Stocking Densities Typical of UK and 'Label Rouge' Production Systems. I. Performance Behaviour and Carcass Composition, *Meat Sci.*, 45 (4), 501–516. 10
- Loneragan S M, Deeb N, Fedlet C A and Lamont S J (2003), Breast meat quality and composition in unique chicken population, *Poultry Sci.*, 82, 1990–1994. 11
- Lowman B G, Lewis M L, Neilson D R, Scott N A and Hunter E A (1991), Complementary influences of exogenous hormone implantation, antibiotic feed addition and supplementary undegradable dietary protein upon growth, feed intake and carcass characteristics of finishing beef cattle, *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 28, 37–52. 12
- Magkos F, Arvaniti F and Zampelas A (2006), Organic Food: Buying More Safety or Just Peace of Mind? A Critical Review of the Literature, *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 46, 23–56. 13
- Marino R, Albenzio M, Girolami A, Muscio A, Sevi A and Braghieri A (2006), Effect of forage to concentrate ratio on growth performance and on carcass and meat quality of Podolian young bulls meat quality, *Meat Sci.*, 38, 269–277. 14
- McCarthy M, de Boer M, O'Reilly S and Cotter L (2003), Factors influencing intention to purchase beef in the Irish market, *Meat Sci.*, 65(3), 1071–1083. 15
- McInerney J (2004), *Animal welfare, economics and policy. Report on a study undertaken for the Farm and Animal Health Economics Division of Defra* Available at <http://statisticsdefragovuk/esg/reports/animalwelfarepdf> 16
- McNabb W C, Waghorn G C, Peters J S and Barry T N (1996), The effect of condensed tannins in *Lotus pedunculatus* on the solubilization and degradation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (EC 41139; rubisco) protein in the rumen and the sites of rubisco digestion, *Br. J. Nutr.*, 76:535549. 17
- Mead P S, Slutsker L and Dietz V (1999), Food-related illness and death in the United States, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 5, 607–625. 18
- Melton S L (1990), Effects of feeds on flavour of red meat: A review, *Journal Anim. Sci.*, 68, 4421–4435. 19

- 1 Millet S, Hesta M, Seynaeve M, Ongenaë E, De Smet S, Debraekeleer J and Janssens G P  
2 J (2004), Performance meat and carcass traits of fattening pigs with organic versus  
3 conventional housing and nutrition, *Liv. Prod. Sci.*, 87, 109–119.
- 4 Millet S, Raes K, Van den Broeck W, De Smet S and Janssens G P J (2005), Performance  
5 and meat quality of organically versus conventionally fed and housed pigs from weaning  
6 till slaughtering, *Meat Sci.*, 69, 335–341.
- 7 Millet S, Ongenaë E, Hesta M, Seynaeve M, De Smet S and Janssens G P J (2006), The  
8 feeding of ad libitum dietary protein to organic growing–finishing pigs, *The Vet. J.*, 171,  
9 483–490.
- 10 Morgan J B (1997), Implant program effects on USDA beef carcass quality grade traits and  
11 meat tenderness. In: *Proc Oklahoma State University Implant Symposium*, Stillwater, p  
12 147.
- 13 Muir P D, Wallace G J, Dobbie P M and Bown M D (2000), A comparison of animal  
14 performance and carcass and meat quality characteristics in Hereford Hereford ×  
15 Friesian and Friesian steers grazed together at pasture, *New Zeal. J. Agr. Res.*, 43, 193–  
16 205.
- 17 Nansen P and Roepstorff A (1999), Parasitic helminths of the pig: factors influencing  
18 transmission and infection levels, *Intern. J. Par.*, 29, 877–891.
- 19 Nielsen B and Thamsborg S M (2001), Organic beef production with emphasis on feeding  
20 and health of dairy bred bull calves. Proc. of 4th NAHWOA Workshop, Wageningen, 24–  
21 27 March, Ed M Hovi and T Baars 155–168.
- 22 Nielsen B and Thamsborg S M (2002), Dairybull calves as a resource for organic beef  
23 production: A farm survey in Denmark, *Liv. Prod. Sci.*, 75 (3), 245–255.
- 24 Nielsen B K and Thamsborg S M (2005), Welfare health and product quality in organic beef  
25 production: A Danish perspective, *Liv. Prod. Sci.*, 94, 41–50.
- 26 Nilzen E, Babol J, Dutta P C, Lundeheim N, Enfalt A C and Lundstrom K (2001), Free range  
27 rearing of pigs with access to pasture grazing. Effect on fatty acid composition and lipid  
28 oxidation products, *Meat Sci.*, 58, 267–275.
- 29 Nuernberg K, Dannenberger D, Nuernberg G, Ender K, Voigt J, Scollan N D, Wood J D,  
30 Nute G R and Richardson R I (2005), Effect of a grass-based and a concentrate feeding  
31 system on meat quality characteristics and fatty acid composition of longissimus muscle  
32 in different cattle breeds, *Liv. Prod. Sci.*, 94, 137–147.
- 33 Nutrition Business Journal (2006), US Organic Food Sales (\$mil) (1997–2010) e-Chart 22  
34 Penton Media Inc USA.
- 35 Oberholtzer L, Greene C and Lopez E (2006), *Organic Poultry and Eggs Capture High Price  
36 Premiums and Share of Specialty Markets*. USDA Outlook Report No LDPM-15001,  
37 Available at <http://www.usdagov/Publications/>
- 38 Oksbjerg N, Strudsholm K, Gunilla L, Gunilla J and Hermansen E J (2005), Meat quality of  
39 fully or partly outdoor reared pigs in organic production, *Agric. Scand. Sect. A*, 55, 106–  
40 112.
- 41 Oliver M A, Gou P, Gispert M, Diestre A, Arnau J L and Blasco A (1994), Comparison of  
42 five types of pig crosses. II. Fresh meat quality and sensory characteristics of dry cured  
43 ham, *Liv. Prod. Sci.*, 40, 179.
- 44 Olsson V, Andersson K, Hansson I and Lundstrom K (2003), Differences in meat quality  
45 between organically and conventionally produced pigs, *Meat Sci.*, 64, 287–297.
- Oltjen R R, Rumsey T S and Putman P A (1971), All-forage diets for finishing beef steers,  
*J. Anim. Sci.*, 46, 716–724.
- Organic Monitor (2006), *The North American Market for Organic Meat Products*. Organic  
Monitor Ltd. Available at <http://www.mindbranch.com/>
- O’Sullivan A, Galvin K, Moloney A P, Troy D J, O’Sullivan K and Kerry J P (2003), Effect  
of pre-slaughter rations of forage and/or concentrates on the composition and quality of  
retail packaged beef, *Meat Sci.*, 63 (3), 279–286.
- Pacelli C, Braghieri A, Surianello F, Marsico I, Napolitano F and Girolami A (2003),  
Impiego di integratori alimentari a base di erbe officinali nella specie bufalina, *Atti 2°*

- Congresso Nazionale sull'Allevamento del Bufalo*, 28–30 Agosto Monterotondo (Roma), 43–47. 1
- Pariza M W, Park Y and Cook M E (2001), The biological active isomers of conjugated linoleic acid, *Progress in Lipid Res.*, 40, 283–298. 2
- Pearce G P (1999), Interactions between dietary fibre, endo-parasites and *Lawsonia intracellularis* bacteria in grower-finisher pigs, *Vet. Par.*, 87, 51–61. 3
- Permin A M, Bisgaard F, Frandsen M, Pearman J, Kold and Nansen P (1999), Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in different poultry production systems, *Br. Poultry Sci.*, 40, 439–443. 4
- Petersen J S, Berge P, Henckel P and Sorensen M T (1997), Collagen characteristics and meat texture of pigs exposed to different levels of physical activity, *J. Muscle Foods*, 8, 47–61. 5
- Petersen J S, Henckel P, Oksbjerg N and Sorensen M T (1998), Adaptations in muscle fibre characteristics induced by physical activity in pigs, *Anim. Sci.*, 66, 733–740. 6
- Petkevicius S, Nansen P, Knudsen K E B and Skjoth F (1999), The effect of increasing levels of insoluble dietary fibre on the establishment and persistence of *Oesophagostomum dentatum* in pigs, *Parasite*, 6, 17–26. 7
- Pla M, Hernandez P, Arino B, Ramirez J A and Diaz I (2007), Prediction of fatty acid content in rabbit meat and discrimination between conventional and organic production systems by NIRS, *Method Food Chem.*, 100, 165–170. 8
- Platter W J, Tatum J D, Belk K E, Scanga J A and Smith G C (2003), Effects of repetitive use of hormonal implants on beef carcass quality, tenderness, and consumer ratings of beef palatability, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 81, 984–996. 9
- Priolo A, Waghorn G C, Lanza M, Biondi L and Pennisi P (2000), Polyethylene glycol as a means for reducing the impact of condensed tannins in carob pulp: Effects on lamb growth performance and meat quality, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 78, 810–816. 10
- Priolo A, Micol D and Agabriel J (2001), Effects of grass feeding systems on ruminant meat colour and flavour. A review, *Anim. Res.*, 50, 185–200. 11
- Pritchard D A, Martin P R and O'Rourke P K (1992), The role of condensed tannins in the nutritional value of mulga (*Acacia aneura*) for sheep, *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 42, 1739–1746. 12
- Ragni M, Cocca C, Di Turi L and Vicenti A (2006), Aspetti qualitativi della carne di vitelli Podolici allevati con differenti regimi alimentari, *Speciale Taurus*, Anno XVIII (7), Novembre/Dicembre. 13
- Ragni M, Vincenti F, Totoda F, Di Turi L, Facciolongo A and Lacitignola M (2007), Use of sweet lupin (*Lupinus albus* L) as alternative protein source in diets for podolian young bulls, *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.*, 6 (1). 14
- Realini C E, Duckett S K and Windham W R (2004), Effect of vitamin C addition to ground beef from grass-fed or grain-fed sources on colour and lipid stability and prediction of fatty acid composition by near-infrared reflectance analysis, *Meat Sci.*, 68, 35–43. 15
- Richardson R I and Mead G C (1999), *Poultry Meat Science*, Poultry Sci Symp Series, Vol 25, CABI Publishing, NY, USA. 16
- Rist L, Mueller A, Barthel C, Sniijders B, Jansen M, Simoes-Wust M P A, Huber M, Kummeling I, von Mandachi U, Steinhart H and Thijs C (2007), Influence of organic diet on the amount of conjugated linoleic acids in breast milk of lactating women in the Netherlands, *Br. J. Nutr.*, (2007), 97, 735–743. 17
- Rizzi C, Marangon A and Chiericato G M (2007), Effect of genotype on slaughtering performance and meat physical and sensory characteristics of organic laying hens, *Poultry Sci.*, 86, 128–135. 18
- Rodenburg T B, Van Der Hulst-Van Arkel M C and Kwakkel R P (2004), *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* infections on organic broiler farms *NJAS – Wageningen*, *J. Life Sci.*, 52, 101–108. 19
- Roeber D L, Cannell R C, Belk K E, Miller R K, Tatum J D and Smith G C (2000), Implant strategies during feeding: Impact on carcass grades and consumer acceptability, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 78, 1867–1874. 20
- Santos S J, Bessa R J B and Santos S F (2002), Effect of genotype feeding system and 21

- 1 slaughter weight on the quality of light lambs. II. Fatty acid composition of meat, *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 77 (2–3), 187–194.
- 2 Sather A P, Jones S D M, Schaefer A L, Colyn J and Robertson W M (1997), Feedlot
- 3 performance carcass composition and meat quality of free range reared pigs, *Canadian J.*
- 4 *Anim. Sci.*, 77 (2), 225–232.
- 5 Schafer A, Rosenfold K, Purslow P P, Andersen H J and Henckel P (2002), Physiological
- 6 and structural events post mortem of importance for drip loss in pork, *Meat Sci.*, 61 (4),
- 7 355–366.
- 8 Schmid O (2000), Comparison of European Organic Livestock Standards with national and
- 9 international standards – problem of common standards development and future areas of
- 10 interest. In: Hovi M and Trujillo R G (eds) *Diversity of Livestock Systems and Definition*
- 11 *of Animal Welfare. Proceedings of the Second NAHWOA Workshop*, Cordoba, 8–11
- 12 January, University of Reading, Reading, UK.
- 13 Shorthose R W and Harris P V (1991), Effects of growth and composition on meat quality.
- 14 In A M Pearson and T R Dutson (Eds) *Advances in Meat Research*, London UK, 7th ed
- 15 515.
- 16 Simmons N J, Young O A, Dobbie P M, Singh K, Thompson B C and Speck P A (1997), Post-
- 17 mortem Calpain-system Kinetics in Lambs: Effects of Clenbuterol and Preslaughter
- 18 Exercise, *Meat Sci.*, 47, 135–146.
- 19 Smith G C, Heaton K L, Sofos J N, Tatum J D, Aaronson M J and Clayton R P (1997),
- 20 Residues Of Antibiotics, Hormones And Pesticides In Conventional, Natural And
- 21 Organic Beef, *J. Muscle Foods*, 8, 157–172.
- 22 Smith K R, Duckett S K, Azain M J, Sonon Jr R N and Pringle T D (2007), The effect of
- 23 anabolic implants on intramuscular lipid deposition in finished beef cattle, *J. Anim. Sci.*,
- 24 85, 430–440.
- 25 Sodo A, Napolitano F, Girolami A, Pacelli C, Piazzolla N and Braghieri A (2007), Impiego
- 26 del favino per la produzione di carne bovina biologica: Colore e potere di ritenzione idrica
- 27 , 3° Workshop GRAB-IT 22 maggio, Roma, *Sostenibilità e Qualità delle Produzioni*
- 28 *Agricole Biologiche*.
- 29 Steen R W J and Kilpatrick D J (1995), Effects of plane of nutrition and slaughter weight on
- 30 the carcass composition of serially slaughtered bulls steers and heifers of three breed
- 31 crosses, *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 43, 205–213.
- 32 Sundrum A (2001), Organic livestock farming. A critical review, *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 67, 207–
- 33 215.
- 34 Sundrum A, Butfering L, Henning M and Hoppenbrock K H (2000), Effects of on-farm diets
- 35 for organic pig production on performance and carcass quality, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 78, 1199–
- 36 1205.
- 37 Tam C C, Rodrigues L C and O'Brien, S J (2003) Guillain-Barre syndrome associated with
- 38 *Campylobacter jejuni* infection in England, 2000–2001 *Cl. Infectious Dis.*, 37(2), 307–
- 39 310.
- 40 Temisan V (1989), Bulls steers or heifers – Which should be fattened in future? *Tierzuchter*,
- 41 41 (7), 286–289.
- 42 Thamsborg S M (2001), Organic farming in the Nordic countries: Animal health and
- 43 production. In: *Veterinary challenges in Organic Farming. Proc 14th Nordic Committee*
- 44 *for Veterinary Scientific Cooperation Symposium, Acta Vet. Scand.*, suppl, 95, 7–15.
- 45 Thamsborg S M, Roepstorff A and Larsen M (1999), Integrated and biological control of
- parasites in organic and conventional production systems, *Vet. Par.*, 84, 169–186.
- Therkildsen M, Vestergaard M, Ramsgaard Jensen L and Andersen H R (1995), Betydningen
- af fodringsintensitet, afgræsning og slutfedning på produktion og slagtekvallitet af SDM
- ungtyre, *Forskningsrapport Nr. 35, Statens Husdyrbrugsforsøg*. Frederiksberg, 36.
- Therkildsen M R B, Karlsson A, Ertbjerg P and Purslow P P (2002), Compensatory growth
- response in pigs' muscle protein turnover and meat texture: Effects of restriction/
- realimentation period, *Anim. Sci.*, 75, 367–377.
- Van der Wal P G, Mateman G, de Vries A W, Vonder G M A, Smulders F J M, Geesink G

- H and Engel B (1993), 'Scharrel' (free range) pigs: Carcass composition meat quality and taste-panel studies, *Meat Sci.*, 34, 27–37. 1
- Verbeke W and Viaene J (1999), Beliefs, attitude and behaviour towards fresh meat consumption in Belgium: Empirical evidence from a consumer survey, *Food Qual. Pref.*, 10(6), 437–445. 2
- Verhoog H, Lund V and Alroe H F (2004), Animal Welfare, Ethics and Organic Farming. In: M Vaarst, Roderick S, Lund V and Lockeretz W (Eds) *Animal Health and Welfare in Organic Agriculture*, Wallingford, UK, CABI Publishing, pp 73–94. 3
- Vestergaard M, Henckel P, Oksbjerg N and Sejrsen K (1994), The effect of cimaterol on muscle fiber characteristics, capillary supply, and metabolic potentials of longissimus and semitendinosus muscles from young Friesian bulls, *J. Anim. Sci.*, 72, 2298–2306. 4
- Vestergaard M, Oksbjerg N and Henckel P (2000a), Influence of feeding intensity grazing and finishing feeding on muscle fibre characteristics and meat colour of semitendinosus long dorsi and supraspinatus muscles of young bulls, *Meat Sci.*, 54, 177–185. 5
- Vestergaard M, Therkildsen M, Henckel P, Jensen L R, Andersen H R and Sejrsen K (2000b), Influence of feeding level grazing, and finishing feeding on meat and eating quality of young bulls and the relationship between muscle fibre characteristics, fibre fragmentation and meat tenderness, *Meat Sci.*, 54, 187–195. 6
- Waghorn G C and Jones W T (1989), Bloat in cattle, 46: Potential of dock (*Rumex obtusifolius*) as an antibloat agent for cattle, *N. Z. J. Agric. Res.*, 32, 227–235. 7
- Waghorn G C, Reed J D and Ndlovu L R (1999), Condensed tannins and herbivore nutrition. In: *Proceedings of the 18th International Grassland Congress*, Vol III, Buchanan-Smith J G, Bailey L D and McCaughy P (Eds), Saskatchewan (Canada), 8–19 June 1997, Association Management Centre, Calgary, AB, Canada, pp 153–166. 8
- Walshe B E, Sheehan E M, Delahunty C M, Morrissey P A and Kerry J P (2006), Composition, sensory and shelf life stability analyses of Longissimus dorsi muscle from steers reared under organic and conventional production systems, *Meat Sci.*, 73, 319–325. 9
- Warriss P D, Kestin S C and Robinson J M (1983), A note on the influence of rearing environment on meat quality in pigs, *Meat Sci.*, 9, 271–279. 10
- Willer H and Yussefi M (2004), *The World of Organic Agriculture Statistics and Emerging Trends*, International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements Bonn Germany. 11
- Wingstrand A, Dahl J and Lo Fo Wong D M A (1999), *Salmonella* – Prevalences in Danish Organic, Free-range, Conventional and Breeding Herds. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on the Epidemiology and Control of Salmonella in Pork*, p 186–189 August 5–7, 1999, Washington DC, USA. 12
- Wood J D, Enser M, Whittington F M, Moncrieff C B and Kempster A J (1989), Backfat composition in pigs: Differences between fat thickness and sexes, *Liv. Prod. Sci.*, 22, 351–362. 13
- Wood J D, Nute G R, Richardson R I, Whittington F M, Southwood O, Plastow G, Mansbridge R, da Costa N and Chang K C (2004), Effects of breed diet and muscle on fat deposition and eating quality in pigs, *Meat Sci.*, 67, 651–667. 14
- Woodward B W and Fernandez M I (1999), Comparison of conventional and organic beef production systems. II. Carcass characteristics, *Liv. Prod. Sci.*, 61, 225–231. 15
- Worthington V (1998), Effect of agricultural methods on nutritional quality: A comparison of organic with conventional crops, *Altern. Ther. Health Med.*, 4, 58–69. 16
- Yang A, Lanari M C, Brewster M and Tume R K (2002), Lipid stability and meat colour of beef from pasture- and grain-fed cattle with or without vitamin E supplement, *Meat Sci.*, 60, 41–50. 17
- Zullo A, Barone C M A, Colatruglio P, Girolami A and Matassino D (2003), Chemical composition of pig meat from the genetic type 'Casertana' and its crossbreeds, *Meat Sci.*, 63, 89–100. 18